LITTLE BLUE BOOK NO. 50 Edited by E. Haldeman-Julius

Common Sense

Thomas Paine

HALDEMAN-JULIUS COMPANY GIRARD, KANSAS

Bancroft Library



TEN CENT POCKET SERIES NO. 50 Edited by E. Haldeman-Julius

Common Sense

Thomas Paine

HALDEMAN-JULIUS COMPANY GIRARD, KANSAS



EXPLANATORY NOTICE.*

The North American Republic consists of twenty-four regularly organized States, and an. immense extent of territory not yet formed into States, which, with the exception of some mountain ridges, is wholly susceptible of cultivation. It contains upwards of two millions of square miles, and is therefore thirteen times as large as France, twenty-three times as large as England, and would, were it peopled as densely as these countries, contain FOUR HUN-DRED MILLIONS OF PEOPLE. It is watered on its eastern, western, and part of its southern sides by the ocean, and on part of its northern frontier by immense lakes. It is intersected in

*This clear and concise statement of the origin of the American war for independence is from an edition of Common Sense published in 1848 by J. Watson, 3 Queen's Head Passage, Paternoster Row, London. At that time the English publishers were permitted to print Paine's political writings unmutilated, as they now appear, without molestation by the government: but the early editions of both Common Sense and the Rights of Man were issued in a very imperfect form to avoid prosecution; all adverse criticism of the king or parliament, of tyrants and tyranny, being sternly suppressed. The vacant lines in the pages of the edition of Common Sense published by J. Almon, opposite Burlingtonhouse in Piccadilly, 1776. and the many suppressed passages in the Rights of Man published by H. D. Symonds, Paternoster-Row, 1792, of J. S. Jordon, 166 Fleet-street, 1791, and many others, are all mute yet eloquent witnesses of the injustice and tyranny exercised by the British government in the 18th century,-Am. Pub. all directions by magnificent rivers, and has more facilities for water conveyance than any other continental country; it abounds in mines and minerals, and produces everything necessary for the sustenance and enjoyment of mankind.

The population of the United States has been several times doubled in periods of less than twenty-five years, it now contains upwards of twelve millions of people, and bids fair at no very distant period to be more populous and powerful than any nation ever was.

Such is the present state, and such the prospects of a nation originally settled by men who either fled from religious intolerance and persecution to seek peace in the wilderness—by men whose notions of liberty were too high to permit them to endure the tyranny they were subjected to at home,—or by men who sought their fortunes as commercial speculators or cultivators of the land. From Britons thus circumstanced has sprung a people who have increased in number, wealth, and intelligence with a rapidity of which history furnishes no parallel.)

From the time that the commerce of the North American Colonies became worth the notice of the British Government, it was put under) the most pernicious and absurd restraints, for the supposed advantage of the mother country, and laws were occasionally passed here to regulate their internal affairs.

In the war which preceded the peace of 1762. the Colonists took a very decided part, and greatly contributed to the conquests made from the French. Canada, which had been taken from that people, was retained by the British, and Florida was ceded to us by Spain. Thus secured from attack by foreign neighbors, Great Britain and her Colonies were more than ever attached to each other. The Americans were proud of the land of their ancestors and gloried in their descent from Englishmen. This state of harmony was, however, of short duration. The unexampled expenses of war required additional taxes to a large amount. and the difficulty this occasioned led the government in 1764 seriously to contemplate the levying of taxes in the colonies. This was objected to by the colonists, unless they were permitted. to send representatives to the British Parliament; to this the government would not consent, and a dispute commenced which ended in the separation of the two countries.

Some at least if not all the colonies contended that they possessed every legislative power not surrendered by compact: whilst in Britain it was contended on the part of the government that "Parliament possessed the power of binding them in all cases whatever."

The dispute became serious, but so contemptible was the power of the colonists considered in the eyes of the English government that in a debate in the House of Commons General Grant, who should have known better, declared that "with five regiments of infantry he would undertake to traverse the whole country and drive the inhabitants from one end of it to the other." This contempt was not only entertained by the government and its adher-

ents, but by the people, who were eager to compel their American brethren to submission by force of arms, against which the voice of a few wise men was of no avail. The colonists continuing to refuse the unconditional submission demanded, recourse was had to arms, and on the night of the 18th of April, 1775, they were attacked by the king's troops at Lexington, and here the first American blood was spilt by their English brethren. The Americans repelled the aggression, appointed GEORGE WASHINGTON Commander-in-chief, and a desultory civil war desolated the colonies. The people were undecided in opinion, some were for submission, and others who deprecated the conduct of government, and publicly declared their detestation, disapproved of resistance as useless, and few were disposed to risk their lives and property in a contest of which none appeared able to foretell the consequences. The doctrine of independence was a novelty hitherto but slightly advocated by its friends, and they, from the want of numbers and the timidity always attendant on newly-started notions, were looked upon as rash and dangerous, or teacherous and designing men, more deserving of suspicion and censure than of applause and imitation.

It was in this crisis, this interval between fear and principle, that Thomas Paine, then unknown as a public character, published the pamphlet Common Sense.* Taking a broader

^{*&}quot;At the close of the year 1775," says Calvin Blanchard in his Life of Paine, "when the American Revolution had progressed as far as the

COMMON SENSE

and longer view than his contemporaries, seeing the inevitable consequence of submission,

battles of Lexington and Bunker Hill, John Adams, Benjamin Rush, Benjamin Franklin, affd George Washington, had met together to read the terrible dispatches they had received. Having done which, they pause in gloom and silence. Presently Franklin speaks: 'What, he asks, 'is to be the end of all this? Is it to obtain justice of Great Britain, to change the ministry, to soften a tax? Or is it for'— He paused; the word independence yet choked the bravest throat that sought to utter it.

"At this critical moment, Paine enters. Franklin introduces him, and he takes his seat. He well knows the cause of the prevailing gloom, and breaks the deep silence thus: "These States of America must be independent of England. That is the only solution of this question!" They all rise to their feet at this political blasphemy. But, nothing daunted, he goes on; his eye lights up with patriotic fire as he paints the glorious destiny which America, considering her vast resources, ought to achieve, and adjures them to lend their influence to rescue the Western Congressive predicament of being governed by a small island, three thousand miles off. Washington leaped forward, and taking both his hands, besought him to publish these views in a book.

"Paine went to his room, seized his pen, lost sight of every other object, tolled incessantly, and in December, 1775, the work entitled Common Sense, which caused the Declaration of Independence, and brought both people and their leaders face to face with the work they had to accomplish, was sent forth on its mission. "That book,' says Dr. Rush,' burst forth from the press with an effect that has been rarely produced by types and paper, in any age or country." "Have you seen the pamphlet, Common Sense?"

"Have you seen the pamphlet, Common Sense?" asked Major General Lee, in a letter to Washington: 'I never saw such a masterly, irresistible the probable result of a declaration of independence, correctly appreciating the reasons which could be urged on either side, and preeminently possessing the power of clearly stating what he strongly conceived, he addressed himself to the Americans in language which every one could understand, and none. could successfully controvert. This remarkable and inestimable production may be described from the anathemas of the enemies of liberty. It has received the highest possible praise from the pen of Cheetham, one of Thomas Paine's most venal and shameless calumniators, who thus characterizes the work:

"This pamphlet of forty-seven octavo pages, holding out relief by proposing INDEPENDENCE to an oppressed and despairing people, was published in January, 1776. Speaking a langauge which the colonists had felt, but not thought, its popularity, terrible in its consequences to the mother country, was unexampled in the history of the press. At first involving the colonists, it was thought, in the crime of rebellion, and pointing to a road leading inevitably to ruin, it was read with alarm and indignation, but when the reader (and

performance. It will, if I mistake not, in concurrence with the transcendent folly and wickedness of the ministry, give the coup-de-grace to Great Britain. In short, I own myself convinced by the arguments, of the necessity of separation.⁴

"The tribute of Paine's greatest enemy was in these words: "The cannon of Washington was not more formidable to the British than the pen of the author of Common Sense." —Am. Pub. everybody read it), recovering from the first shock, re-perused it, its arguments, ravishing his feelings and appealing to his pride, reanimated his hopes and satisfied his understanding, that *Common Sense*, backed by the resources and force of the colonies, poor and feeble as they were, could alone rescue them from the unqualified oppression with which they were threatened. The unknown author, in the moments of enthusiasm which succeeded. was hailed as an angel sent from heaven to save from all the horrors of slavery, by his timely, powerful, and unerring councils, a faithful but abused,—a brave but misrepresented people.

"When Common Sense arrived at Albany, the Convention of New York was sitting there. General Scott, a leading member, alarmed at the boldness and novelty of. its arguments. mentioned his fears to several of his distinguished colleagues, and suggested a private meeting in the evening, for the purpose of writing an answer. They accordingly met, and Mr. McThesson read the pamphlet through. At first it was deemed necessary and expedient to answer it without delay, but casting about for the requisite arguments, they concluded to adjourn and meet again. In a few evenings they re-assembled, but so rapid was the change of opinion in the colonies at large in favor of independence, that they agreed not to oppose it."

Dr. Gordon in his *History of the American Revolution* writes thus, "The publications which have appeared have greatly promoted the spirit of independence, but no one so much as the pamphlet under the signature of *Common Sense*, written by Thomas Paine, an Englishman. Nothing could have been better timed* than this performance—it has produced astonishing efects."

Testimonies of this sort from friends and enemies could easily be multiplied, and proofs almost without end could be adduced to show how much the cause of mankind was promoted by Thomas Paine in thus assisting to lay the foundation of the American Republic,—the example of which will in time be followed by every people on the earth.

*"Paine was the first to advise the Americans to assert their independence," says Richard Car-lile in his Life of Paine. "This he did in his famous pamphlet, entitled Common Sense, which, for its consequences and rapid effect, was the most important production that ever issued from the press. This pamphlet appeared at the commencement of the year 1776, and electrified the minds of the oppressed Americans. They had not ventured to harbor the idea of independence; and they dreaded war so much as to be anxious for reconciliation with Britain. One incident which gave a stimulus to the pamphlet Common Sense was, that it happened to appear on the very day that the King of England's speech reached the United States, in which the Americans were denounced as rebels and traitors, and in which speech it was asserted to be the right of the legislature of England to bind the Colonies in all cases whatsoever! Such menace and asser-tion as this could not fail to kindle the ire of the Americans, and Common Sense came for-ward to touch their feelings with the spirit of independence in the very nick of time."-Am. Pub

The principles maintained in *Common Sense* are applicable to all times, and to all mankind. They should be carefully studied by every one who is at all desirous to possess that information without which he must ever remain a slave at heart,[†]

[†]Paine's own opinion of Common Sense may be inferred from the fact, that previous to his death he directed that his body should be interred on his farm at New Rochelle, and a plain stone placed over his grave bearing this inscription:

> THOMAS PAINE, AUTHOR OF COMMON SENSE

COMMON SENSE.1

Thomas Paine.

INTRODUCTION.

PERMAPS the sentiments contained in the following pages, are not yet sufficiently fashionable to procure them general Favor; a long Habit of not thinking a Thing wrong, gives it a superficial appearance of being right, and raises at first a formidable outcry in defense of Custom. But the Tumult soon subsides. Time makes more Converts than Reason.

As a long and violent abuse of power is generally the means of calling the right of it in question (and in matters too which might never

³This pamphlet, whose effect has never been paralleled in literary history, was published January 10, 1776, with the following title:

January 10, 1776, with the following title: Common Sense: Addressed to the Inhabitants of America, on the following Interesting Subjects, viz.: I. Of the Origin and Design of Government in General; with Concise Remarks on the English Constitution. II. Of Monarchy and Hereditary Succession. III. Thoughts on the Present State of American Affairs. IV. Of the Present Ability of America; with some Miscellaneous Reflections.

Man knows no master save creating Heaven, Or those whom choice and common good ordain. —Thomson.

Philadelphia: Printed, and Sold, by R. Bell, in Third Street MDCCLXXVI. have been thought of, had not the sufferers been aggravated into the inquiry,) and as the King of England hath undertaken in his own right, to support the Parliament in what he calls *Theirs*, and as the good People of this Country are grievously oppressed by the Combination, they have an undoubted privilege to enquire into the Pretensions of both, and equally to reject the Usurpation of either.

In the following Sheets, the Author hath studiously avoided every thing which is personal among ourselves. Compliments as well as censure to individuals make no part thereof. The wise and the worthy need not the triumph of a Pamphlet; and those whose sentiments are injudicious or unfriendly will cease of themselves, unless too much pains is bestowed upon their conversions.

The cause of America is in a great measure the cause of all mankind. Many circumstances have, and will arise, which are not local, but universal, and through which the principles of all lovers of mankind are affected, and in the event of which their affections are interested. The laying a country desolate with fire and sword, declaring war against the natural rights of all mankind, and extirpating the defenders thereof from the face of the earth, is the concern of every man to whom nature hath given the power of feeling; of which class, regardless of party censure, is

THE AUTHOR.

Postscript to Preface in the third edition.

P. S. The Publication of this new Edition hath been delayed, with a view of taking notice (had it been necessary) of any attempt to refute the Doctrine of Independence: As no answer hath yet appeared, it is now presumed that none will, the time needful for getting such a Performance ready for the Public being considerably past.

Who the Author of this Production is, is wholly unnecessary to the Public, as the Object for Attention is the *Doctrine itself*, not the *Man*. Yet it may not be unnecessary to say, That he is unconnected with any party, and under no sort of Influence, public or private, but the influence of reason and principle.

Philadelphia, February 14, 1776.

COMMON SENSE.

ON THE ORIGIN AND DESIGN OF COVERNMENT IN GENERAL, WITH CONCISE REMARKS ON THE ENGLISH CONSTITUTION.

Some writers have so confounded society with government, as to leave little or no distinction between them; whereas they are not only different, but have different origins. Soclety is produced by our wants, and government by our wickedness; the former promotes our happiness *positively* by uniting our affections, the latter *negatively* by restraining our vices. The one encourages intercourse, the other creates distinctions. The first is a patron, the last a punisher.

Society in every state is a blessing, but Government, even in its best state, is but a necessary evil; in its worst state an intolerable one: for when we suffer, or are exposed to the same miseries by a Government, which we might expect in a country without Government, our calamity is heightened by reflecting that we furnish the means by which we suffer.. Government, like dress, is the badge of lost innocence; the palaces of kings are built upon the ruins of the bowers of paradise. For were the impulses of conscience clear, uniform and irresistibly obeyed, man would need no other lawgiver; but that not being the case, he finds it necessary to surrender up a part of his property to furnish means for the protection of the rest; and this he is induced to do by the same prudence which in every other case advises him, out of two evils to choose the least. Wherefore, security being the true design and end of government, it unanswerably follows that whatever form thereof appears most likely to ensure it to us, with the least expense and greatest benefit, is preferable to all others.

In order to gain a clear and just idea of the design and end of government, let us suppose a small number of persons settled in some sequestered part of the earth, unconnected with the rest: they will then represent the first peopling of any country, or of the world. In this state of natural liberty, society will be their. first thought. A thousand motives will excite them thereto; the strength of one man is so unequal to his wants, and his mind so unfitted for perpetual solitude, that he is soon obliged to seek assistance and relief of another, who'in his turn requires the same. Four or five united would be able to raise a tolerable dwelling in the midst of a wilderness, but one man might labour out the common period of life without accomplishing any thing; when he had felled his timber he could not remove it, nor erect it after it was removed; hunger in the mean time would urge him to quit his work, and every different want would call him a different way. Disease, nay even misfortune, would be death; for though neither might be mortal, yet either would disable him from living, and reduce him to a state in which he

might rather be said to perish than to die. Thus necessity, like a gravitating power, would soon form our newly arrived emigrants into society, the reciprocal blessings of which would supercede, and render the obligations of law and government unnecessary while they remained perfectly just to each other; but as nothing but Heaven is impregnable to vice, it will unavoidably happen that in proportion as they surmount the first difficulties of emigration, which bound them together in a common cause, they will begin to relax in their duty and attachment to each other: and this remissness will point out the necessity of establishing some form of government to supply the defect of moral virtue.

Some convenient tree will afford them a State House, under the branches of which the whole Colony may assemble to deliberate on public matters. It is more than probable that their first laws will have the title only of Regulations and be enforced by no other penalty than public disesteem. In this first parliament every man by natural right will have a seat.

But as the Colony encreases, the public concerns will encrease likewise, and the distance at which the members may be separated, will render it too inconvenient for all of them to meet on every occasion as at first, when their number was small, their habitations near, and the public concerns few and trifling. This will point out the convenience of their consenting to leave the legislative part to be managed by a select number chosen from the whole body, who are supposed to have the same concerns at stake which those have who appointed them, and who will act in the same manner as the whole body would act were they present. If the colony continue encreasing, it will become necessary to augment the number of representatives, and that the interest of every part of the colony may be attended to, it will be found best to divide the whole into convenient parts, each part sending its proper number: and that the elected might never form to themselves an interest separate from the electors, prudence will point out the propriety of having elections often: because as the elected might by that means return and mix again with the general body of the *electors* in a few months, their fidelity to the public will be secured by the prudent reflection of not making a rod for themselves. And as this frequent interchange will establish a common interest with every part of the community, they will mutually and naturally support each other, and on this. (not on the unmeaning name of king.) depends the strength of government, and the happiness of the governed.

Here then is the origin and rise of government; namely, a mode rendered necessary by the inability of moral virtue to govern the world; here too is the design and end of government, viz. Freedom and security. And however our eyes may be dazzled with show, or our ears deceived by sound; however prejudice may warp our wills, or interest darken our understanding, the simple voice of nature and reason will say, 'tis right.

I draw my idea of the form of government

from a principle in nature which no art can overturn, viz. that the more simple any thing is, the less liable it is to be disordered, and the easier repaired when disordered; and with this maxim in view I offer a few remarks on the so much boasted constitution of England. That it was noble for the dark and slavish times in which it was erected, is granted. When the world was overrun with tyranny the least remove therefrom was a glorious rescue. But that it is imperfect, subject to convulsions, and incapable of producing what it seems to promise, is easily demonstrated.

Absolute governments, (tho' the disgrace of human nature) have this advantage with them, they are simple; if the people suffer, they know the head from which their suffering springs; know likewise the remedy; and are not bewildered by a variety of causes and cures. But the constitution of England is so exceedingly complex, that the nation may suffer for years together without being able to discover in which part the fault lies; some will say in one and some in another, and every political physician will advise a different medicine.

I know it is difficult to get over local or long standing prejudices, yet if we will suffer ourselves to examine the component parts of the English constitution, we shall find them to be the base remains of two ancient tyrannies, compounded with some new Republican materials.

First.—The remains of Monarchical tyranny in the person of the King. Secondly.—The remains of Aristocratical tyranny in the persons of the Peers.

Thirdly.—The new Republican materials, in the persons of the Commons, on whose virtue depends the freedom of England.

The two first, by being hereditary, are independent of the People; wherefore in a constitutional scase they contribute nothing towards the freedom of the State.

To say that the constitution of England is an *union* of three powers, reciprocally *checking* each other, is farcical; either the words have no meaning, or they are flat contradictions.

To say that the Commons is a check upon the King, presupposes two things.

First,—That the King it not to be trusted without being looked after; or in other words, that a thirst for absolute power is the natural disease of monarchy.

Secondly—That the Commons, by being appointed for that purpose, are either wiser or more worthy of confidence than the Crown.

But as the same constitution which gives the Commons a power to check the King by withholding the supplies, gives afterwards the King a power to check the Commons, by empowering him to reject their other bills; it again supposes that the King is wiser than those whom it has already supposed to be wiser than him. A mere absurdity!

There is something exceedingly ridiculous in the composition of Monarchy; it first excludes a man from the means of information, yet empowers him to act in cases where the highest judgment is required. The state of a king shuts him from the World, yet the business of a king requires him to know it thoroughly; wherefore the different parts, by unnaturally opposing and destroying each other, prove the whole character to be absurd and useless.

Some writers have explained the English constitution thus: the King, say they, is one, the people another; the Peers are a house in behalf of the King, the commons in behalf of the people; but this hath all the distinctions of a house divided against itself; and though the expressions be pleasantly arranged, yet when examined they appear idle and ambiguous: and it will always happen, that the nicest construction that words are capable of, when applied to the description of something which either cannot exist, or is too incomprehensible to be within the compass of description, will be words of sound only, and though they may amuse the ear, they cannot inform the mind: for this explanation includes a previous question, viz, how came the king by a power which the people are afraid to trust, and always obliged to check? Such a power could not be the gift of a wise people, neither can any power, which needs checking, be from God; yet the provision which the constitution makes supposes such a power to exist.

But the provision is unequal to the task; the means either cannot or will not accomplish the end, and the whole affair is a *Felo de se*: for as the greater weight will always carry up the less, and as all the wheels of a machine are put in motion by one, it only remains to know which power in the constitution has the most weight, for that will govern: and the' the others, or a part of them, may clog, or, as the phrase is, check the rapidity of its motion, yet so long as they cannot stop it, their endeavours will be ineffectual: The first moving power will at last have its way, and what it wants in speed is supplied by time.

That the crown is this overbearing part in the English constitution needs not be mentioned, and that it derives its whole consequence merely from being the giver of places and pensions is self-evident; wherefore, though we have been wise enough to shut and lock a door against absolute Monarchy, we at the same time have been foolish enough to put the Crown in possession of the key.

The prejudice of Englishmen, in favour of their own government, by King, Lords and Commons, arises as much or more from national pride than reason. Individuals are undoubtedly safer in England than in some other countries: but the will of the king is as much the law of the land in Britain as in France, with this difference, that instead of proceeding directly from his mouth, it is handed to the people under the formidable shape of an act of parliament. For the fate of Charles the First hath only made kings more subtle—not more just.

Wherefore, laying aside all national pride and prejudice in favour of modes and forms, the plain truth is that it is wholly owing to the constitution of the people, and not to the constitution of the government that the crown is not as oppressive in England as in Turkey.

An inquiry into the constitutional errors in

the English form of government, is at this time highly necessary; for as we are never in a proper condition of doing justice to others, while we continue under the influence of some leading partiality, so neither are we capable of doing it to ourselves while we remain fettered by any obstinate prejudice. And as a man who is attached to a prostitute is unfitted to choose or judge of a wife, so any prepossession in favour of a rotten constitution of government will disable us from discerning a good one.⁴

OF MONARCHY AND HEREDITARY SUCCESSION.

MANKIND being originally equals in the order of creation, the equality could only be destroyed by some subsequent circumstance: the distinctions of rich and poor may in a great measure be accounted for, and that without having recourse to the harsh ill-sounding names of oppression and avarice. Oppression is often the *consequence*, but seldom or never the *means* of riches; and tho' avarice will preserve a man from being necessitously poor, it generally makes him too timorous to be wealthy.

But there is another and great distinction for which no truly natural or religious reason can be assigned, and that is the distinction of men into KINGS and SUBJECTS. Male and female are the distinctions of nature, good and bad the distinctions of Heaven; but how a race of men came into the world so exalted above the rest, and distinguished like some new species, is worth inquiring into, and whether they are the means of happiness or of misery to mankind. In the early ages of the world, according to the scripture chronology there were no kings; the consequence of which was, there were no wars; it is the pride of kings which throws mankind into confusion. Holland, without a king hath enjoyed more peace for this last century than any of the monarchical governments in Europe. Antiquity favours the same remark; for the quiet and rural lives of the first Patriarchs have a snappy something in them, which vanishes when we come to the history of Jewish royalty.

Government by kings was first introduced into the world by the Heathens, from whom the children of Israel copied the custom. It was the most prosperous invention the Devil ever set on foot for the promotion of idolatry. The Heathens paid divine honours to their deceased kings, and the Christian World hath improved on the plan by doing the same to their living ones. How impious is the title of sacred Majesty applied to a worm, who in the midst of his splendor is crumbling into dust!

As the exalting one man so greatly above the rest cannot be justified on the equal rights of nature, so neither can it be defended on the authority of scripture; for the will of the Almighty as declared by Gideon, and the prophet Samuel, expressly disapproves of government by Kings. All anti-monarchical parts of scripture, have been very smoothly glossed over in monarchical governments, but they undoubtedly merit the attention of countries which have their governments yet to form. *Render unto Cesar the things which are Cesar's*, is the scripture doctrine of courts, yet it is no support of monarchical government, for the Jews at that time were without a king, and in a state of vassalage to the Romans.

Near three thousand years passed away, from the Mosaic account of the creation, till the Jews under a national delusion requested a king. Till then their form of government (except in extraordinary cases where the Almighty interposed) was a kind of Republic, administered by a judge and the elders of the tribes. Kings they had none, and it was held sinful to acknowledge any being under that title but the Lord of Hosts. And when a man seriously reflects on the idolatrous homage which is paid to the persons of kings, he need not wonder that the Almighty, ever jealous of his honour, should disapprove a form of government which so impiously invades the prerogative of Heaven.

Monarchy is ranked in scripture as one of the sins of the Jews, for which a curse in reserve is denounced against them. The history of that transaction is worth attending to.

The children of Israel being oppressed by the Midianites, Gideon marched against them with a small army, and victory thro' the divine interposition decided in his favour. The Jews, elate with success, and attributing it to the generalship of Gideon, proposed making him a king, saying, Rule thou over us, thou and thy son, and thy son's son. Here was temptation in its fullest extent; not a kingdom only, but an hereditary one; but Gideon in the piety of his soul replied, I will not rule over you, neither shall my son rule over you. THE LOBD SHALL RULE OVER YOU. Words need not be more explicit: Gideon doth not decline the honour, but denieth their right to give it; neither doth he compliment them with invented declarations of his thanks, but in the positive style of a prophet charges them with disaffection to their proper Sovereign, the King of Heaven.

About one hundred and thirty years after this, they fell again into the same error. The hankering which the Jews had for the idolatrous customs of the Heathens, is something exceedingly unaccountable; but so it was, that laying hold of the misconduct of Samuel's two sons, who were intrusted with some secular concerns, they came in an abrupt and clamorous manner to Samuel, saying, Behold thou art old, and thy sons walk not in thy ways, now make us a king to judge us like all the other nations. And here we cannot observe but that their motives were bad, viz. that they might be like unto other nations, i. e. the Heathens, whereas their true glory lay in being as much unlike them as possible. But the thing displeased Samuel when they said, give us a King to judge us; and Samuel prayed unto the Lord, and the Lord said unto Samuel, hearken unto the voice of the people in all that they say unto thee, for they have not rejected thee, but they have rejected me, THAT I SHOULD NOT REIGN OVER THEM. According to all the works which they have done since the day that I brought them up out of Egypt even unto this day, wherewith they have forsaken me, and served other Gods: so do they also unto thee. Now therefore hearken unto their voice, howbeit, protest sol-

emnly unto them and show them the manner of the King that shall reign over them, i. e. not of any particular King, but the general manner of the Kings of the earth whom Israel was so eagerly copying after. And notwithstanding the great distance of time and difference of manners, the character is still in fashion. And Samuel told all the words of the Lord unto the people, that asked of him a King. And he said, This shall be the manner of the King that shall reign over you. He will take your sons and apnoint them for himself for his chariots and to be his horsemen, and some shall run before his chariots (this description agrees with the present mode of impressing men) and he will appoint him captains over thousands and captains over fifties, will set them to ear his ground and to reap his harvest, and to make his instruments of war, and instruments of his chariots. And he will take your daughters to be confectionaries, and to be cooks, and to be bakers (this describes the expense and luxury as well as the oppression of Kings) and he will take your fields and your vineyards, and your olive yards, even the best of them, and give them to his servants. And he will take the tenth of your seed, and of your vineyards, and give them to his officers and to his servants (by which we see that bribery, corruption, and favouritism, are the standing vices of Kings) and he will take the tenth of your men servants, and your maid servants, and your goodliest young men, and your asses, and put them to his work: and he will take the tenth of your sheep, and ye shall be his servants, and ye shall

cry out in that day because of your king which ve shall have chosen. AND THE LORD WILL NOT HEAR YOU IN THAT DAY. This accounts for the continuation of Monarchy: neither do the characters of the few good kings which have lived since, either sanctify the title, or blot out the sinfulness of the origin; the high encomium of David takes no notice of him officially as a King, but only as a man after God's own heart. Nevertheless the people refused to obey the voice of Samuel, and they said. Nay, but we will have a king over us, that we may be like all the nations, and that our king may judge us, and go out before us and fight our battles. Samuel continued to reason with them but to no purpose: he set before them their ingratitude, but all would not avail: and seeing them fully bent on their folly, he cried out, I will call unto the Lord, and he shall send thunder and rain (which was then a punishment, being in the time of wheat harvest) that ye may perceive and see that your wickedness is great which ye have done in the sight of the Lord. IN ASK-ING YOU A KING. So Samuel called unto the Lord, and the Lord sent thunder and rain that day, and all the people greatly feared the Lord and Samuel. And all the people said unto Samuel, Pray for thy servants unto the Lord thy God that we die not, for WE HAVE ADDED UNTO OUR SINS THIS EVIL. TO ASK A KING. These portions of scripture are direct and positive. They admit of no equivocal construction. That the Almighty hath here entered his protest against monarchical government is true, or the scripture is false. And a man hath good reason to believe that there is as much of kingcraft as priestcraft in withholding the scripture from the public in popish countries. For monarchy in every instance is the popery of government.

To the evil of monarchy we have added that of hereditary succession; and as the first is a degradation and lessening of ourselves, so the second, claimed as a matter of right, is an insult and imposition on posterity. For all men being originally equals, no one by birth could have a right to set up his own family in perpetual preference to all others for ever, andtho' himself might deserve some decent degree of honours of his contemporaries, yet his descendants might be far too unworthy to inherit them. One of the strongest natural proofs of the folly of hereditary right in Kings, is that nature disapproves it, otherwise she would not so frequently turn it into ridicule, by giving mankind an Ass for a Lion.

Secondly, as no man at first could possess any other public honors than were bestowed upon him, so the givers of those honors could have no power to give away the right of posterity, and though they might say "We choose you for our head," they could not without manifest injustice to their children say "that your children and your children's children shall reign over ours forever." Because such an unwise, unjust, unnatural compact might (perhaps) in the next succession put them under the government of a rogue or a fool. Most wise men in their private sentiments have ever treated hereditary right with contempt; yet it is one of those evils which when once established is not easily removed: many submit from fear, others from superstition, and the more powerful part shares with the king the plunder of the rest.

This is supposing the present race of kings in the world to have had an honorable origin: whereas it is more than probable, that, could we take off the dark covering of antiquity and trace them to their first rise, we should find the first of them nothing better than the principal ruffian of some restless gang, whose savage manners of pre-eminence in subtilty obtained him the title of chief among plunderers: and who by increasing in power and extending his depredations, overawed the quiet and defenseless to purchase their safety by frequent contributions. Yet his electors could have no idea of giving hereditary right to his descendants, because such a perpetual exclusion of themselves was incompatible with the free and restrained principles they professed to live by. Wherefore, hereditary succession in the early ages of monarchy could not take place as a matter of claim, but as something casual or complemental; but as few or no records were extant in those days, the traditionary history stuff'd with fables, it was very easy, after the lapse of a few generations, to trump up some superstitious tale conveniently timed, Mahometlike, to cram hereditary right down the throats of the vulgar. Perhaps the disorders which threatened, or seemed to threaten, on the decease of a leader and the choice of a new one (for elections among ruffians could not be very orderly) induced many at first to favour hereditary pretensions; by which means it happened, as it hath happened since, that what at first was submitted to as a convenience was afterwards claimed as a right.

England since the conquest hath known some few good monarchs, but groaned beneath a much larger number of bad ones; yet no man in his senses can say that their claim under William the Conqueror is a very honourable one. A French bastard landing with an armed Banditti and establishing himself king of England against the consent of the natives, is in plain terms a very paltry rascally original. It certainly hath no divinity in it. However it is needless to spend much time in exposing the folly of hereditary right; if there are any so weak as to believe it, let them promiscuously worship the Ass and the Lion, and welcome. I shall neither copy their humility, nor disturb their devotion.

Yet I should be glad to ask how they suppose kings came at first? The question admits but of three answers, viz. either by lot, by election, or by usurpation. If the first king was taken by lot, it establishes a precedent for the next, which excludes hereditary succession. Saul was by lot, yet the succession was not hereditary, neither does it appear from that transaction that there was any intention it ever should. If the first king of any country was by election, that likewise establishes a precedent for the next; for to say, that the right of all future generations is taken away, by the act of the first electors, in their choice not only of a king but of a/family of kings for ever, hath no parallel in or out of scripture but the doctrine of original sin, which supposes the free will of all men lost in Adam; and from such comparison, and it will admit of no other, hereditary succession can derive no glory. For as in Adam all sinned, and as in the first electors all men obeyed: as in the one all mankind were subjected to Satan, and in the other to sovereignty: as our innocence was lost in the first. and our authority in the last; and as both disable us from re-assuming some former state and privilege, it unanswerably follows that original sin and hereditary succession are parallels. Dishonourable rank! inglorious connection! yet the most subtle sophist cannot produce a juster simile.

As to usurpation, no man will be so hardy as to defend it; and that William the Conqueror was an usurper is a fact not to be contradicted. The plain truth is, that the antiquity of English monarchy will not bear looking into.

But it is not so much the absurdity as the evil of hereditary succession which concerns mankind. Did it ensure a race of good and wise men it would have the seal of divine authority, but as it opens a door to the *foolish*, the wicked, and the *improper*, it hath in it the nature of oppression. Men who look upon themselves born to reign, and others to obey, soon grow insolent. Selected from the rest of mankind, their minds are early poisoned by importance; and the world they act in differs so materially from the world at large, that they have but little opportunity of knowing its true interests, and when they succeed to the government are frequently the most ignorant and unfit of any throughout the dominions.

Another evil which attends hereditary succession is, that the throne is subject to be possessed by a minor at any age; all which time the regency acting under the cover of a king have every opportunity and inducement to betray their trust. The same national misfortune happens when a king worn out with age and infirmity enters the last stage of human weakness. In both these cases the public becomes a prey to every miscreant who can tamper successfully with the follies either of age or infancy.

The most plausible plea which hath ever been offered in favor of hereditary succession is, that it preserves a nation from civil wars; and were this true, it would be weighty; whereas it is the most bare-faced falsity ever imposed upon mankind. The whole history of England disowns the fact. Thirty kings and two minors have reigned in that distracted kingdom since the conquest, in which time there has been (including the revolution) no less than eight civil wars and nineteen Rebellions. Wherefore instead of making for peace, it makes against it, and destroys the very foundation it seems to stand upon.

The contest for monarchy and succession, between the houses of York and Lancaster, laid England in a scene of blood for many years. Twelve pitched battles besides skirmishes and sieges were fought between Henry and Edward. Twice was Henry prisoner to Edward, who in his turn was prisoner to Henry. And so uncertain is the fate of war and the temper of a nation, when nothing but personal matters are the ground of a quarrel, that Henry was taken in triumph from a prison to a palace, and Edward obliged to fly from a palace to a foreign land; yet, as sudden transitions of temper are seldom lasting, Henry in his turn was driven from the throne, and Edward re-called to succeed him. The parliament always following the strongest side.

This contest began in the reign of Henry the Sixth, and was not entirely extinguished till Henry the Seventh, in whom the families were united. Including a period of 67 years, viz. from 1422 to 1489.

In short, monarchy and succession have laid (not this or that kingdom only) but the world in blood and ashes. "Tis a form of government which the word of God bears testimony against, and blood will attend it.

If we enquire into the business of a King, we shall find that in some countries they may have none; and after sauntering away their lives without pleasure to themselves or advantage to the nation, withdraw from the scene, and leave their successors to tread the same idle round. In absolute monarchies the whole weight of business civil and military lies on the King; the children of Israel in their request for a king urged this plea, "that he may judge us, and go out before us and fight our battles." But in countries where he is neither a Judge nor a General. as in England, a man would be puzzled to know what *is* his business.

The nearer any government approaches to a

Republic, the less business there is for a King. It is somewhat difficult to find a proper name for the government of England. Sir William Meredith calls it a Republic; but in its present state it is unworthy of the name, because the corrupt influence of the Crown, by having all the places in its disposal, hath so effectually swallowed up the power, and eaten out the virtue of the House of Commons (the Republican part in the constitution) that the government of England is nearly as monarchical as that of France or Spain. Men fall out with names without understanding them. For 'tis the Republican and not the Monarchical part of the Constitution of England which Englishmen glory in, viz. the liberty of choosing an House of Commons from out of their own body -and it is easy to see that when Republican virtues fail, slavery ensues. Why is the constitution of England sickly, but because monarchy hath poisoned the Republic; the Crown hath engrossed the Commons.

In England a King hath little more to do than to make war and give away places; which, in plain terms, is to empoverish the nation and set it together by the ears. A pretty business indeed for a man to be allowed eight hundred thousand sterling a year for, and worshipped into the bargain! Of more worth is one honest man to society, and in the sight of God, than all the crowned ruffians that ever lived.

THOUGHTS ON THE PRESENT STATE OF

AMERICAN AFFAIRS.

In the following pages I offer nothing more than simple facts, plain arguments, and common sense: and have no other preliminaries to settle with the reader, than that he will divest himself of prejudice and prepossession, and suffer his reason and his feelings to determine for themselves: that he will put on, or rather that he will not put off, the true character of a man, and generously enlarge his views beyond the present day.

Volumes have been written on the subject of the struggle between England and America. Men of all ranks have embarked in the controversy, from different motives, and with various designs; but all have been ineffectual, and the period of debate is closed. Arms as the last resource decide the contest; the appeal was the choice of the King, and the Continent has accepted the challenge.

It hath been reported of the late Mr. Pelham (who tho' an able minister was not without his faults) that on his being attacked in the House of Commons on the score that his measures were only of a temporary kind, replied, "they will last my time." Should a thought so fatal and unmanly possess the Colonies in the present contest, the name of ancestors will be remembered by future generations with detestation.

The Sun never shined on a cause of greater worth. 'Tis not the affair of a City, a County, a Province, or a Kingdom; but of a Continent of at least one-eighth part of the habitable Globe. 'Tis not the concern of a day, a year, or an age; posterity are virtually involved in the contest, and will be more or less affected even to the end of time, by the proceedings now. Now is the seed-time of Continental union, faith and honour. The least fracture now will be like a name engraved with the point of a pin on the tender rind of a young oak; the wound would enlarge with the tree, and posterity read in it full grown characters.

By referring the matter from argument to arms, a new era for politics is struck—a new method of thinking hath arisen. All plans, proposals, &c. prior to the nineteenth of April, *i. e.* to the commencement of hostilities,* are like the almanacks of the last year; which tho' proper then, are superceded and useless now. Whatever was advanced by the advocates on either side of the question then, terminated in one and the same point, viz. a union with Great Britain; the only difference between the parties was the method of effecting it; the one proposing force, the other friendship; but it hath so far happened that the first hath failed, and the second hath withdrawn her influence.

As much hath been said of the advantages of reconciliation, which, like an agreeable

*At Lexington, Massachusetts, 1775 .- Editor.

dream, hath passed away and left us as we were, it is but right that we should examine the contrary side of the argument, and enquire into some of the many material injuries which these Colonies sustain, and always will sustain, by being connected with and dependant on Great Britain. To examine that connection and dependance, on the principles of nature and common sense, to see what we have to trust to, if separated, and what we are to expect, if dependant.

I have heard it asserted by some, that as America has flourished under her former connection with Great Britain, the same connection is necessary towards her future happiness, and will always have the same effect. Nothing can be more fallacious than this kind of argument. We may as well assert that because a child has thrived upon milk, that it is never to have meat, or that the first twenty years of our lives is to become a precedent for the next twenty. But even this is admitting more than is true; for I answer roundly that America would have flourished as much, and probably much more, had no European power taken any notice of her. The commerce by which she hath enriched herself are the necessaries of life, and will always have a market while eating is the custom of Europe.

But she has protected us, say some. That she hath engrossed us is true, and defended the Continent at our expense as well as her own, is admitted; and she would have defended Turkey from the same motive, *viz.* for the sake of trade and dominion.

Alas! we have been long led away by ancient prejudices and made large sacrifices to super-We have boasted the protection of stition. Great Britain, without considering, that her motive was interest not attachment; and that she did not protect us from our enemies on our account: but from her enemies on her own account: from those who had no guarrel with us on any other account, and who will always be our enemies on the same account. Let Britain waive her pretensions to the Continent, or the Continent throw off the dependance, and we should be at peace with France and Spain. were they at war with Britain. The miseries of Hanover last war ought to warn us against connections.

It hath lately been asserted in parliament, that the Colonies have no relation to each other but through the Parent Country, *i. e.* that Pennsylvania and the Jerseys and so on for the rest, are sister Colonies by the way of England; this is certainly a very roundabout way of proving relationship, but it is the nearest and only true way of proving enmity (or enemyship, if I may so call it.) France and Spain never were, nor perhaps ever will be, our enemies as *Americans*, but as our being the subjects of Great Britain.

But Britain is the parent country, say some. Then the more shame upon her conduct. Even brutes do not devour their young, nor savages make war upon their families. Wherefore, the assertion, if true, turns to her reproach; but it happens not to be true, or only partly so, and the phrase parent or mother country hath been jesuitically adopted by the King and his parasites, with a low papistical design of gaining an unfair bias on the credulous weakness of our minds. Europe, and not England, is the parent country of America. This new World hath been the asylum for the persecuted lovers of civil and religious liberty from *every part* of Europe. Hither have they fled, not from the tender embraces of the mother, but from the cruelty of the monster; and it is so far true of England, that the same tyranny which drove the first emigrants from home, pursues their descendants still.

In this extensive quarter of the globe, we forget the narrow limits of three hundred and sixty miles (the extent of England) and carry our friendship on a larger scale; we claim brotherhood with every European Christian, and triumph in the generosity of the sentiment.

It is pleasant to observe by what regular gradations we surmount the force of local prejudices, as we enlarge our acquaintance with the World. A man born in any town in England divided into parishes, will naturally associate most with his fellow parishioners (because their interests in many cases will be common) and distinguish him by the name of *neighbour*; if he meet him but a few miles from home, he drops the narrow idea of a street, and salutes him by the name of *townsman*; if he travel out of the county and meet him in any other, he forgets the minor divisions of street and town, and calls him countryman, i. e. countyman; but if in their foreign excursions they should associate in France, or any other part of *Europe*, their local remembrance would be enlarged into that of *Englishmen*. And by a just parity of reasoning, all Europeans meetings in America, or any other quarter of the globe, are *countrymen*; for England, Holland, Germany, or Sweden, when compared with the whole, stand in the same places on the larger scale, which the divisions of street, town, and county do on the smaller ones; Distinctions too limited for Continental minds. Not one third of the inhabitants, even of this province, [Pennsylvania], are of English descent. Wherefore, I reprobate the phrase of Parent or Mother Country applied to England only, as being false, selfish, narrow and ungenerous.

But, admitting that we were all of English descent, what does it amount to? Nothing. Britain, being now an open enemy, extinguishes every other name and title: and to say that reconciliation is our duty, is truly farcical. The first king of England, of the present line (William the Conqueror) was a Frenchman, and half the peers of England are descendants from the same country; wherefore, by the same method of reasoning, England ought to be governed by France.

Much hath been said of the united strength of Britain and the Colonies, that in conjunction they might bid defiance to the world: But this is mere presumption; the fate of war is uncertain, neither do the expressions mean anything; for this continent would never suffer itself to be drained of inhabitants, to support the British arms in either Asia, Africa, or Europe.

Besides, what have we to do with setting the world at defiance? Our plan is commerce, and that, well attended to, will secure us the peace and friendship of all Europe; because it is the interest of all Europe to have America a free port. Her trade will always be a protection, and her barrenness of gold and silver secure her from invaders.

I challenge the warmest advocate for reconciliation to show a single advantage that this continent can reap by being connected with Great Britain. I repeat the challenge; not a single advantage is derived. Our corn will fetch its price in any market in Europe, and our imported goods must be paid for buy them where we will.

But the injuries and disadvantages which we sustain by that connection, are without number; and our duty to mankind at large, as well as to ourselves, instruct us to renounce the alliance: because, any submission to, or dependance on, Great Britain, tends directly to in-volve this Continent in European wars and quarrels, and set us at variance with nations who would otherwise seek our friedship, and against whom we have neither anger nor complaint. As Europe is our market for trade. we ought to form no partial connection with any part of it. It is the true interest of America to steer clear of European contentions, which she never can do, while, by her dependance on Britain, she is made the make-weight in the scale of British politics.

42

Europe is too thickly planted with Kingdoms to be long at peace, and whenever a war breaks out between England and any foreign power, the trade of America goes to ruin, because of her connection with Britain. The next war may not turn out like the last, and should it not, the advocates for reconciliation now will be wishing for separation then, because neutrality in that case would be a safer convoy than a man of war. Every thing that is right or reasonable pleads for separation. The blood of the slain, the weeping voice of nature cries, 'TIS TIME TO PART. Even the distance at which the Almighty hath placed England and America is a strong and natural proof that the authority of the one over the other, was never the design of Heaven. The time likewise at which the Continent was discovered, adds weight to the argument, and the manner in which it was peopled, encreases the force of it. The Refor-mation was preceded by the discovery of America: As if the Almighty graciously meant to open a sanctuary to the persecuted in future years, when home should afford neither friendship nor safety.

The authority of Great Britain over this continent, is a form of government, which sconer or later must have an end: And a serious mind can draw no true pleasure by looking forward, under the painful and positive conviction that what he calls "the present constitution" is merely temporary. As parents, we can have no joy, knowing that this government is not sufficiently lasting to ensure any thing which we may bequeath to posterity:

COMMON SENSE

And by a plain method of argument, as we are running the next generation into debt, we ought to do the work of it, otherwise we use them meanly and pitifully. In order to discover the line of our duty rightly, we should take our children in our hand, and fix our station a few years farther into life; that eminence will present a prospect which a few present fears and prejudices conceal from our sight.

Though I would carefully avoid giving unnecessary offence, yet I am inclined to believe, that all those who espouse the doctrine of reconciliation, may be included within the following descriptions.

Interested men, who are not to be trusted, weak men who cannot see, prejudiced men who will not see, and a certain set of moderate men who think better of the European world than it deserves; and this last class, by an ill-judged deliberation, will be the cause of more calamities to this Continent than all the other three.

It is the good fortune of many to live distant from the scene of present sorrow; the evil is not sufficiently brought to their doors to make them feel the precariousness with which all American property is possessed. But let our imaginations transport us a few moments to Boston; that seat of wretchedness will teach us wisdom, and instruct us for ever to renounce a power in whom we can have no trust. The inhabitants of that unfortunate city who but a few months ago were in ease and affluence, have now no other alternative than to stay and starve, or turn out to beg. Endangered by the fire of their friends if they continue within the city and plundered by the soldiery if they leave it, in fheir present situation they are prisoners without the hope of redemption, and in a general attack for their relief they would be exposed to the fury of both armies.

Men of passive tempers look somewhat lightly over the offences of Great Britain, and, still hoping for the best, are apt to call out. Come. come, we shall be friends again for all this. But examine the passions and feelings of mankind: bring the doctrine of reconciliation to the touchstone of nature, and then tell me whether you can hereafter love, honour, and faithfully serve the power that hath carried fire and sword into your land? If you cannot do all these, then are you only deceiving yourselves, and by your delay bringing ruin upon posterity. Your future connection with Britain, whom you can neither love nor honour. will be forced and unnatural, and being formed only on the plan of present convenience, will in a little time fall into a relapse more wretch. ed than the first. But if you say, you can still pass the violations over, then I ask, hath your house been burnt? Hath your property been destroyed before your face? Are your wife and children destitute of a bed to lie on, or bread to live on? Have you lost a parent or a child by their hands, and yourself the ruined and wretched survivor? If you have not, then are you not a judge of those who have. But if you have, and can still shake hands with the murderers, then are you unworthy the name of husband, father, friend or lover, and whatever may be your rank or title in life, you have the heart of a coward, and the spirit of a sycophant.

This is not inflaming or exaggerating matters, but trying them by those feelings and affections which nature justifies, and without which we should be incapable of discharging the social duties of life, or enjoying the felicities of it. I mean not to exhibit horror for the purpose of provoking revenge, but to awaken us from fatal and unmanly slumbers, that we may pursue determinately some fixed object. 'Tis not in the power of Britain or of Europe to conquer America, if she doth not conquer herself by delay and timidity. The present winter is worth an age if rightly employed, but if lost or neglected the whole Continent will partake of the misfortune; and there is no punishment which that man doth not deserve, be he who, or what, or where he will, that may be the means of sacrificing a season so precious and useful.

'Tis repugnant to reason, to the universal order of things, to all examples from former ages, to suppose that this Continent can long remain subject to any external power. The most sanguine in Britain doth not think so. The utmost stretch of human wisdom cannot, at this time, compass a plan, short of separation, which can promise the continent even a year's security. Reconciliation is now a fallacious dream. Nature hath deserted the connection, and art cannot supply her place. For, as Milton wisely expresses, "never can true reconcilement grow where wounds of deadly hate have pierced so deep," Every quiet method for peace hath been ineffectual. Our prayers have been rejected with disdain; and hath tended to convince us that nothing flatters vanity or confirms obstinacy in Kings more than repeated petitioning—and nothing hath contributed more than that very measure to make the Kings of Europe absolute. Witness Denmark and Sweden. Wherefore, since nothing but blows will do, for God's sake let us come to a final separation, and not leave the next generation to be cutting throats under the violated unmeaning names of parent and child.

To say they will never attempt it again is idle and visionary; we thought so at the repeal of the stamp act, yet a year or two undeceived us; as well may we suppose that nations which have been once defeated will never renew the quarrel.

As to government matters, 'tis not in the power of Britain to do this continent justice: the business of it will soon be too weighty and intricate to be managed with any tolerable degree of convenience, by a power so distant from us, and so very ignorant of us; for if they cannot conquer us, they cannot govern us. To be always running three or four thousand miles with a tale or petition, waiting for four or five months for an answer, which, when obtained, requires five or six more to explain it in, will in a few years be looked upon as folly and childishness. There was a time when it was proper, and there is a proper time for it to cease.

Small islands not capable of protecting them-

selves are the proper objects for government¹ to take under their care; but there is something absurd, in supposing a Continent to be perpetually governed by an island. In no instance hath nature made the satellite larger than its primary planet; and as England and America, with respect to each other, reverse the common order of nature, it is evident that they belong to differnt systems. England to Europe: America to itself.

I am not induced by motives of pride, party, or resentment to espouse the doctrine of separation and independence; I am clearly, positively, and conscientiously persuaded that it is the true interest of this Continent to be so; that every thing short of *that* is mere patchwork, that it can afford no lasting felicity, that it is leaving the sword to our children, and shrinking back at a time when a little more, a little further, would have rendered this Continent the glory of the earth.

As Britain hath not manifested the least inclination towards a compromise, we may be assured that no terms can be obtained worthy the acceptance of the Continent, or any ways equal to the expense of blood and treasure we have been already put to.

The object contended for, ought always to bear some just proportion to the expense. The removal of North, or the whole detestable junto, is a matter unworthy the millions we have expended. A temporary stoppage of trade was an inconvenience, which would have suf-

*In some later editions "kingdoms."-Editor.

ficiently balanced the repeal of all the acts complained of, had such repeals been obtained; but if the whole Continent must take up arms. if every man must be a soldier, 'tis scarcely worth our while to fight against a contemptible ministry only. Dearly, dearly do we pay for the repeal of the acts, if that is all we'fight, for: for, in a just estimation 'tis as great a folly to pay a Bunker-hill price for law as for land. As I have always considered the independancy of this Continent, as an event which sooner or later must arrive, so from the late rapid progress of the Continent to maturity. the event cannot be far off. Wherefore, on the breaking out of hostilities, it was not worth the while to have disputed a matter which time would have finally redressed, unless we meant to be in earnest: otherwise it is like wasting an estate on a suit at law, to regulate the tresspasses of a tenant whose lease is just expiring. No man was a warmer wisher for a reconciliation than myself, before the fatal nineteenth of April, 1775, but the moment the event of that day was made known. I rejected the hardened, sullen-tempered Pharoah of England for ever; and disdain the wretch, that with the pretended title of FATHER OF HIS PEO-PLE can unfeelingly hear of their slaughter, and composedly sleep with their blood upon his soul.

But admitting that matters were now made up, what would be the event? I answer, the ruin of the Continent. And that for several reasons.

First. The powers of governing still remain-

ing in the hands of the King, he will have a negative over the whole legislation of this Continent. And as he hath shown himself such an inveterate enemy to liberty, and discovered such a thirst for arbitrary powers, is he, or is he not, a proper person to say to these colonies, You shall make no laws but what I please !? And is there any inhabitant of America so ignorant as not to know, that according to what is called the present constitution, this Continent can make no laws but what the king gives leave to: and is there any man so unwise as not to see, that (considering what has happened) he will suffer no law to be made here but such as suits his purpose? We may be as effectually enslaved by the want of laws in America, as by submitting to laws made for us in England. After matters are made up (as it is called) can there be any doubt, but the whole power of the crown will be exerted to keep this continent as low and humble as possible? Instead of going forward we shall go backward. or be perpetually quarrelling, or ridiculously petitioning. We are already greater than the King wishes us to be, and will he not hereafter endeavor to make us less? To bring the matter to one point, Is the power who is jealous of our prosperity, a proper power to govern us? Whoever says No, to this question, is an Independant for independency means no more than this, whether we shall make our own laws, or, whether the King, the greatest enemy this continent hath, or can have, shall tell us there shall be no laws but such as I like.

But the King, you will say, has a negative

in England; the people there can make no laws without his consent. In point of right and good order, it is something very ridiculous that a youth of twenty-one (which hath often happened) shall say to several millions of people older and wiser than himself. "I forbid this or that act of yours to be law." But in this place I decline this sort of reply. though I will never cease to expose the absurdity of - it, and only answer that England being the King's residence, and America not so, makes quite another case. The King's negative here is ten times more dangerous and fatal than it can be in England; for there he will scarcely refuse his consent to a bill for putting England into as strong a state of defense as possible, and in America he would never suffer such a bill to be passed.

America is only a secondary object in the system of British politics. England consults the good of this country no further than it answers her own purpose. Wherefore, her own interest leads her to suppress the growth of ours in every case which doth not promote her advantage, or in the least interferes with it. A pretty state we should soon be in under such a second hand government, considering what has happened. Men do not change from enemies to friends by the alteration of a name: And in order to show that reconciliation now is a dangerous doctrine, I affirm, that it would be policy in the King at this time to repeal the acts, for the sake of reinstating himself in the government of the provinces; In order that HE MAY ACCOMPLISH BY CRAFT AND SUBTLETY, IN THE LONG RUN, WHAT HE CANNOT DO BY FORCE AND VIOLENCE IN THE SHORT ONE. Reconciliation and ruin are nearly related.

Secondly. That as even the best terms which we can expect to obtain can amount to no more than a temporary expedient, or a kind of government by guardianship, which can last no longer than till the Colonies come of age, so the general face and state of things in the interim will be unsettled and unpromising. Emigrants of property will not choose to come to a country whose form of government hangs but by a thread, and who is every day tottering on the brink of commotion and disturbance; and numbers of the present inhabitants would lay hold of the interval to dispose of their effects, and quit the Continent.

But the most powerful of all arguments is, that nothing but independance, *i. e.* a Continental form of government, can keep the peace of the Continent and preserve it inviolate from civil wars. I dread the event of a reconciliation with Britain now, as it is more than probable that it will be followed by a revolt some where or other, the consequences of which may be far more fatal than all the malice of Britain.

Thousands are already ruined by British barbarity; (thousands more will probably suffer the same fate.) Those men have other feelings than us who have nothing suffered. All they now possess is liberty; what they before enjoyed is sacrificed to its service, and having nothing more to lose they disdain submission. Besides, the general temper of the Colonies,

towards a British government will be like that of a youth who is nearly out of his time; they will care very little about her: And a government which cannot preserve the peace is no government at all, and in that case we pay our money for nothing; and pray what is it that Britain can do, whose power will be wholly on paper, should a civil tumult break out the very day after reconciliation? I have heard men say, many of whom I believe spoke without thinking, that they dreaded an independance, fearing that it would produce civil wars: It is but seldom that our first thoughts are truly correct, and that is the case here; for there is ten times more to dread from a patched up connection than from independance. I make the sufferer's case my own, and I protest, that were I driven from house and home, my property destroyed, and my circumstances ruined, that as a man, sensible of injuries, I could never relish the doctrine of reconciliation, or consider myself bound therebv.

The Colonies have manifested such a spirit of good order and obedience to Continental government, as is sufficient to make every reasonable person easy and happy on that head. No man can assign the least pretence for his fears, on any other grounds, than such as are truly childish and ridiculous, viz., that one colony will be striving for superiority over another.

Where there are no distinctions there can be no superiority; perfect equality affords no temptation. The Republics of Europe are all (and we may say always) in peace. Holiand and Switzerland are without wars, foreign or domestic: Monarchial governments, it is true are never long at rest: the crown itself is a temptation to enterprising ruffians at home; and that degree of pride and insolence ever at tendant on regal authority, swells into a rup ture with foreign powers in instances where a republican government, by being formed on more natural principles, would negotiate the mistake.

If there is any true cause of fear respecting independance, it is because no plan is yet laid down. Men do not see their way out. Wherefore, as an opening into that business I offer the following hints; at the same time modestly affirming, that I have no other opinion of them myself, than that they may be the means of giving rise to something better. Could the straggling thoughts of individuals be collected, they would frequently form materials for wise and able men to improve into useful matter.

Let the assemblies be annual, with a president only. The representation more equal, their business wholly domestic, and subject to the authority of a Continental Congress.

Let each Colony be divided into six, eight, or ten, convenient districts, each district to send a proper number of Delegates to Congress, so that each Colony send at least thirty. The whole number in Congress will be at least 390. Each congress to sit and to choose a President by the following method. When the Delegates are met, let a Colony be taken from the whole thirteen Colonies by lot, after which let the Congress choose (by ballot) a president from out of the Delegates of that Province. In the next Congress, let a Colony be taken by lot from twelve only, omitting that Colony from which the president was taken in the former Congress, and so proceeding on till the whole thirteen shall have had their proper rotation. And in order that nothing may pass into a law but what is satisfactorily just, not less than three fifths of the Congress to be called a majority. He that will promote discord, under a government so equally formed as this, would have joined Lucifer in his revolt.

But as there is a peculiar delicacy from whom, or in what manner, this business must first arise, and as it seems most agreeable and consistent that it sould come from some intermediate body between the governed and the governors, that is, between the Congress and the People, let a Continental Conference be held in the following manner, and for the following purpose,

A Committee of twenty-six members of congress, viz. Two for each Colony. Two Members from each House of Assembly, or Provincial Convention; and five Representatives of the people at large, to be chosen in the capital city or town of each Province, for, and in behalf of the whole Province, by as many qualified voters as shall think proper to attend from all parts of the Province for that purpose; or, if more convenient, the Representatives may be chosen in two or three of the most populous parts thereof. In this conference, thus assembled, will be united the two grand principles of business, knowledge and power. The Members of Congress, Assemblies, or Conventions, by having had experience in national concerns, will be able and useful counsellors, and the whole, being impowered by the people, will have a truly legal authority.

The conferring members being met, let their business be to frame a Continental Charter, or Charter of the United Colonies; (answering what is called the Magna Charta of England) fixing the number and manner of choosing Members of Congress, Members of Assembly, with their date of sitting; and drawing the line of business and jurisdiction between them: Always remembering, that our strength is Continental, not provincial. Securing freedom and property to all men, and above all things, the free exercise of religion, according to the dictates of conscience; with such other matter as it is necessary for a charter to contain. Immediately after which, the said conference to dissolve, and the bodies which shall be chosen conformable to the said charter, to be the Legislators and Governors of this Continent for the time being: Whose peace and happiness, may God preserve. AMEN.

Should any body of men be hereafter delegated for this or some similar purpose, I offer them the following extracts from that wise observer on Governments, Dragonetti, "The science," says he, "of the Politician consists in fixing the true point of happiness and freedom. Those men would deserve the gratitude of ages, who should discover a mode of government that contained the greatest sum of individual happiness, with the least national expense." (Dragonetti on "Virtues and Reward.")

But where, say some, is the King of America? I'll tell you, friend, he reigns above, and doth not make havoc of mankind like the Royal Brute of Great Britain. Yet that we may not appear to be defective even in earthly honours. let a day be solemnly set apart for proclaiming the Charter: let it be brought forth placed on the Divine Law, the Word of God; let a crown be placed thereon, by which the world may know, that so far as we approve of monarchy. that in America the law is king. For as in absolute governments the King is law, so in free countries the law ought to be king; and there ought to be no other. But lest any ill use should afterwards arise. let the Crown at the conclusion of the ceremony be demolished. and scattered among the people whose right it is.

A government of our own is our natural right: and when a man seriously reflects on the precariousness of human affairs, he will become convinced, that it is infinitely wiser and safer, to form a constitution of our own in a cool deliberate manner, while we have it in our power, than to trust such an interesting event to time and chance. If we omit it now, some Massanello* may hereafter arise, who,

*Thomas Anello, otherwise Massanello, a fisherman of Naples, who after spiriting up his countrymen in the public market place, against the oppression of the Spaniards, to whom the place was then subject, prompted them to revolt, and in the space of a day became King.—Author. laying hold of popular disquietudes, may collect together the desperate and the discontented, and by assuming to themselves the powers of government, finally sweep away the liberties of the Continent like a deluge. Should the government of America return again into the hands of Britain, the tottering situation of things will be a temptation for some desperate adventurer to try his fortune; and in such a case, what relief can Britain give? Ere she could hear the news, the fatal business might be done; and ourselves suffering like the wretched Britons under the oppression of the Conqueror. Ye that oppose independance now, ye know not what ye do: ye are opening a door to eternal tyranny, by keeping vacant the seat of government. There are thousands and tens of thousands, who would think it glorious to expel from the Continent, that barbarous and hellish power, which hath stirred up the Indians and the Negroes to destroy us: the cruelty hath a double guilt, it is dealing brutally by us, and treacherously by them.

To talk of friendship with those in whom our reason forbids us to have faith, and our affections wounded thro' a thousand pores instruct us to detest, is madness and folly. Every day wears out the little remains of kindred between us and them; and can there be any reason to hope, that as the relationship expires, the affection will encrease, or that we shall agree better when we have ten times more and greater concerns to quarrel over than ever?

Ye that tell us of harmony and reconciliation, can ye restore to us the time that is past? Can ye give to prostitution its former innocence? neither can ye reconcile Britain and America. The last cord now is broken, the people of England are presenting addresses against us. There are injuries which nature cannot forgive; she would cease to be nature if she did. As well can the lover forgive the ravisher of his mistress, as the Continent forgive the murders of Britain. The Almighty hath implanted in us these unextinguishable feelings for good and wise purposes. They are the Guardians of his Image in our hearts. They distinguish us from the herd of common onimals. The social compact would dissolve, and justice be extirpated from the earth. or have only a casual existence were we callous to the touches of affection. The robber and the murderer would often escape unpunished, did not the injuries which our tempers sustain, provoke us into justice.

O! ye that love mankind! Ye that dare oppose not only the tyranny but the tyrant, stand forth! Every spot of the old world is overrun with oppression. Freedom hath been hunted round the Globe. Asia and Africa have long expelled her. Europe regards her like a stranger, and England hath given her warning to depart. O! receive the fugitive, and prepare in time an asylum for mankind.

OF THE PRESENT ABILITY OF AMERICA: WITH

SOME MISCELLANEOUS REFLECTIONS.

I HAVE never met with a man, either in England or America, who hath not confessed his opinion, that a separation between the countries would take place one time or other: And there is no instance in which we have shown less judgment, than in endeavoring to describe, what we call, the ripeness or fitness of the continent for independence.

As all men allow the measure, and vary only in their opinion of the time, let us, in order to remove mistakes, take a general survey of things, and endeavor if possible to find out the very time. But I need not go far, the inquiry ceases at once, for the time hath found us. The general concurrence, the glorious union of all things, proves the fact.

'Tis not in numbers but in unity that our great strength lies: yet our present numbers are sufficient to repel the force of all the world. The Continent hath at this time the largest body of armed and disciplined men of any power under Heaven: and is just arrived at that pitch of strength, in which no single colony is able to support itself, and the whole, when united, is able to do any thing. Our land force is more than sufficient, and as to Naval affairs, we cannot be insensible that Britain would never suffer an American man of war to be built, while the Continent remained in her hands. Wherefore, we should be no forwarder an hundred years hence in that branch than we are now; but the truth is, we should be less so, because the timber of the Country is every day diminishing, and that which will remain at last, will be far off or difficult to procure.

Were the Continent crowded with inhabitants, her sufferings under the present circumstances would be intolerable. The more seaport-towns we had, the more should we have both to defend and to lose. Our present numbers are so happily proportioned to our wants, that no man need be idle. The diminution of trade affords an army, and the necessities of an army create a new trade.

Debts we have none: and whatever we may contract on this account will serve as a glorious memento of our virtue. Can we but leave posterity with a settled form of government, an independent constitution of its own, the purchase at any price will be cheap. But to expend millions for the sake of getting a few vile acts repealed, and routing the present ministry only, is unworthy the charge, and is using posterity with the utmost cruelty; because it is leaving them the great work to do, and a debt upon their backs from which they derive no advantage. Such a thought 's unworthy a man of honour, and is the true characteristic of a narrow heart and a piddling politician.

The debt we may contract doth not deserve our regard if the work be but accomplished No nation ought to be without a debt. A national debt is a national bond; and when it bears no interest, is in no case a grievance. Britain is oppressed with a debt of upwards of one hundred and forty millions sterling, for which she pays upwards of four millions interest. And as a compensation for her debt, she has a large navy; America is without a debt, and without a navy; yet for the twentieth part of the English national debt, could have a navy as large again. The navy of England is not worth at this time more than three millions and a half sterling.

The first and second editions of this pamphlet were published without the following calculations, which are now given as a proof that the above estimation of the navy is a just one. See Entic's "Naval History," Intro., p. 56.

The charge of building a ship of each rate, and furnishing her with masts, yards, sails, and rigging, together with a proportion of eight months boatswain's and carpenter's sea-stores, as calculated by Mr. Burchett, Secretary to the navy.

For a	ship	of	100	guns,		35.553 1.
			90	-		29,886
			80			23,638
			70			17,785
			60			14,197
			50			10,606
			40			7,558
			30			5,846
			20			3,710

And hence it is easy to sum up the value, or cost, rather, of the whole British navy, which, in the year 1757, when it was at its greatest

COMMON SENSE

tate 1 - 6 the following whing on 1 ming

glory, con	sisted of the	tomowing si	mps	and guns.
Ships	Guns	Cost of One		Cost of All
6.	100 .	55,553 1.		213,318 l.
12 .	90 .	29.886		358,632
12 .	80 .	23,638		283 656
43 .	70 .	17,785		764,755
35 .	60 .	14,197		496,895
40 .	50 .	10,605	· .	424,240
45 .	40 .	7,558		340,110
58 .	20 .	3,710		215,180
85 sloop	os, bombs, a	and		
fires	hips, one w	vith	No. of	
	her at	2.000		170.000
		Cost.		3.266.7861.
	Remain		233,214	
		Total,		3,500,000 1.

No country on the globe is so happily situated. or so internally capable of raising a fleet as America. Tar. timber, iron, and cordage are her natural produce. We need go abroad for nothing. Whereas the Dutch, who make large profits by hiring out their ships of war to the Spaniards and Portuguese, are obliged to import most of the materials they use. We ought to view the building a fleet as an article of commerce, it being the natural manufactory of this country. 'Tis the best money we can lay out. A navy when finished is worth more than it cost: And is that nice point in national policy. in which commerce and protection are united. Let us build; if we want them not, we can sell; and by that means replace our paper currency with ready gold and silver.

In point of manning a fleet, people in general run into great errors; it is not necessary that one-fourth part should be sailors. The

63

Terrible privateer, captain Death, stood the hottest engagement of any ship last war, yet had not twenty sailors on board, though her complement of men was upwards of two hundred. A few able and social sailors will soon instruct a sufficient number of active landsmen in the common work of a ship. Wherefore we never can be more capable of beginning on maritime matters than now, while our timber is standing, our fisheries blocked up, and our sailors and shipwrights out of employ. Men of war, of seventy and eighty guns, were built forty years ago in New England, and why not the same now? Ship building is America's greatest pride. and in which she will, in time, excel the whole world. The great surprises of the east are mainly inland, and consequently excluded from the pos-sibility of rivalling ther. Africa is in a state of barbarism; and no bower in Europe hath either such an extent of cast, or such an internal supply of materials. Where nature hath given the one, she hath withheld the other; to America only hath she been liberal to both. The vast empire of Russia is almost shut out from the sea: wherefore her boundless forests, her tar, iron and cordage are only articles of commerce.

In point of safety, ought we to be without a fleet? We are not the little people now which we were sixty years ago; at that time we might have trusted our property in the streets, or fields rather, and slept securely without locks or bolts to our doors and windows. The case is now altered, and our methods of defence ought to improve with our increase of property. A common pirate, twelve months ago, might have come up the Delaware, and laid the city of Philadelphia under contribution for what sum he pleased; and the same might have happened to other places. Nay, any daring fellow, in a brig of fourteen or sixteen guns, might have robbed the whole Continent, and carried off half a million of money. These are circumstances which demand our attention, and point out the necessity of naval protection.

Some perhaps will say, that after we have made it up with Britain, she will protect us. Can they be so unwise as to mean that she will keep a navy in our harbors for that purpose? Common sense will tell us that the power which hath endeavoured to subdue us, is of all others the most improper to defend us. Conquest may be effected under the pretence of friendship; and ourselves, after a long and brave resistance, be at last cheated into slavery. And if her ships are not to be admitted into our harbours, I would ask, how is she going to protect us? A navy three or four thousand miles off can be of little use, and on sudden emergencies, none at all.' Wherefore if we must hereafter protect ourselves, why not do it for ourselves? Why do it for another?

The English list of ships of war is long and formidable, but not a tenth part of them are at any time fit for service, numbers of them are not in being; yet their names are pompously continued in the list, if only a plank be left of the ship; and not a fifth part of such as are fit for service can be spared on any one station at one time. The East and West Indies, Mediterranean, Africa, and other parts, over which

COMMON SENSE

Britain extends her claim, make large demands upon her navy. From a mixture of prejudice and inattention we have contracted a false notion respecting the navy of England, and have talked as if we should have the whole of it to encounter at once, and for that reason supposed that we must have one as large; which not being instantly practicable, has been made use of by a set of disguised Tories to discourage our beginning thereon. Nothing can be further from truth than this: for if America had only a twentieth part of the naval force of Britain. she would be by far an over-match for her: because, as we neither have, nor claim any foreign dominion, our whole force would be employed on our own coast, where we should, in the long run, have two to one the advantage of those who had three or four thousand miles to sail over before they could attack us, and the same distance to return in order to refit and recruit. And although Britain, by her fleet. hath a check over our trade to Europe, we have as large a one over her trade to the West Indies, which, by laying in the neighborhood of the Continent, lies entirely at its mercy.

Some method might be fallen on to keep up a naval force in time of peace, if we should judge it necessary to support a constant navy. If premiums were to be given to merchants to build and employ in their service ships mounted with twenty, thirty, forty, or fifty guns (the premiums to be in proportion to the loss of bulk to the merchant). fifty or sixty of those ships, with a few guardships on constant duty, would keep up a sufficient navy, and that without burdening ourselves with the evil so loudly complained of in England, of suffering their fleet in time of peace to lie rotting in the docks. To unite the sinews of commerce and defence is sound policy; for when our strength and our riches play into each other's hand, we need fear no external enemy.

In almost every article of defence we abound. Hemp flourishes even to rankness, so that we need not want cordage. Our iron is superior to that of other countries. Our small arms equal to any in the world. Cannon we can cast at pleasure. Saltpetre and gunpowder we are every day producing. Our knowledge is hourly improving. Resolution is our inherent character, and courage hath never yet forsaken us. Wherefore, what is it that we want? Why is it that we hesitate? From Britain we can expect nothing but ruin. If she is once admitted to the government of America again, this Continent will not be worth living in. Jealousies will be always arising; insurrections will be constantly happening; and who will go forth to quell them? Who will venture his life to reduce his own countrymen to a foreign obedience? The difference between Pennsylvania and Connecticut, respecting some unlocated lands. shows the insignificance of a British government, and fully proves that nothing but Continental authority can regulate Continental matters.

Another reason why the present time is preferable to all others is, that the fewer our numbers are, the more land there is yet unoccupied, which, instead of being lavished by the king on his worthless dependents, may be hereafter applied, not only to the discharge of the present debt, but to the constant support of government. No nation under Heaven hath such an advantage as this.

The infant state of the Colonies, as it is called, so far from being against, is an argument in favour of independence. We are sufficiently numerous, and were we more so we might be less united. "Tis a matter worthy of observation that the more a country is peopled, the smaller their armies are. In military numbers, the ancients far exceeded the moderns; and the reason is evident, for trade being the consequence of population, men became too much absorbed thereby to attend to anything else. Commerce diminishes the spirit both of patriotism and military defence. And history sufficiently informs us that the bravest achievements were always accomplished in the non-ageof a nation. With the increase of commerce England hath lost its spirit. The city of London, notwithstanding its numbers, submits to continued insults with the patience of a coward. The more men have to lose, the less willing are they to venture. The rich are in general slaves to fear, and submit to courtly power with the trembling duplicity of a spaniel.

Youth is the seed-time of good habits as well in nations as in individuals. It might be difficult, if not impossible, to form the Continent into one government half a century hence. The vast variety of interests, occasioned by an increase of trade and population, would create confusion. Colony would be against colony. Each being able would scorn each other's assistance; and while the proud and foolish gloried in their little distinctions the wise would lament that the union had not been formed before. Wherefore the present time is the true time for establishing it. The intimacy which is contracted in infancy, and the friendship which is formed in misfortune, are of all others the most lasting and unalterable. Our present union is marked with both these characters; we are young, and we have been distressed; but our concord hath withstood our troubles, and fixes a memorable era for posterity to glory in.

The present time, likewise, is that peculiar time which never happens to a nation but once, viz., the time of forming itself into a government. - Most nations have let slip the opportunity, and by that means have been compelled to receive laws from their conquerors, instead of making laws for themselves. First, they had a king, and then a form of government; whereas the articles or charter of government should be formed first, and men delegated to execute them afterwards; but from the errors of other nations let us learn wisdom, and lay hold of the present opportunity—to begin government at the right end.

When William the Conqueror subdued England, he gave them law at the point of the sword; and, until we consent that the seat of government in America be legally and authoritatively occupied, we shall be in danger of having it filled by some fortunate ruffian, who may treat us in the same manner, and then, where will be our freedom? where our property?

As to religion. I hold it to be the indispensable duty of government to protect all conscientious professors thereof, and I know of no other business which government hath to do therewith. Let a man throw aside that narrowness of soul, that selfishness of principle, which the niggards of all professions are so unwilling to part with, and he will be at once delivered of his fears on that head. Suspicion is the companion of mean souls, and the bane of all good society. For myself, I fully and conscientiously believe that it is the will of the Almighty that there should be a diversity of religious opinions among us. It affords a larger field for our Christian kindness: were we all of one way of thinking, our religious dispositions would want matter for probation; and on this liberal principle I look on the various denominations among us to be like children of the same family, differing only in what is called their Christian names.

In page [97] I threw out a few thoughts on the propriety of a Continental Charter (for I only presume to offer hints, not plans) and in this place I take the liberty of re-mentioning the subject, by observing that a charter is to be understood as a bond of solemn obligation, which the whole enters into, to support the right of every separate part, whether of religion, professional freedom, or property. A firm bargain and a right reckoning make long friends.

I have heretofore likewise mentioned the ne-

cessity of a large and equal representation: and there is no political matter which more deserves our attention. A small number of electors, or a small number of representatives, are equally dangerous. But if the number of the representatives be not only small, but unequal, the danger is increased. As an instance of this. I mention the following: when the petition of the associators was before the House of Assembly of Pennsylvania, twenty-eight members only were present: all the Bucks county members, being eight, voted against it. and had seven of the Chester members done the same, this whole province had been governed by two counties only: and this danger it is always exposed to. The unwarrantable stretch likewise, which that house made in their last sitting, to gain an undue authority over the delegates of that province, ought to warn the people at large how they trust power out of their own hands. A set of instructions for their delegates were put together, which in point of sense and business would have dishonoured a school-boy, and after being approved by a few, a very few, without doors. were carried into the house, and there passed in behalf of the whole colony; whereas, did the whole colony know with what ill will that house had entered on some necessary public measures. they would not hesitate a moment to think them unworthy of such a trust.

Immediate necessity makes many things convenient, which if continued would grow into oppressions. Expedience and right are different things. When the calamities of America re-

quired a consultation, there was no method so ready, or at that time so proper, as to appoint persons from the several houses of assembly for that purpose; and the wisdom with which they have proceeded hath preserved this Continent from ruin. But as it is more than probable that we shall never be without a Congress. every well wisher to good order must own that the mode for choosing members of that body deserves consideration. And I put it as a question to those who make a study of mankind. whether representation and election is not too great a power for one and the same body of men to possess? When we are planning for posterity, we ought to remember that virtue is not hereditary.

It is from our enemies that we often gain excellent maxims, and are frequently surprised into reason by their mistakes. Mr. Cornwall (one of the Lords of the Treasury) treated the petition of the New York Assembly with contempt, because *that* house, he said, consisted but of twenty-six members, which trifling number, he argued, could not with decency be put for the whole. We thank him for his involuntary honesty.*

To conclude, however strange it may appear to some, or however unwilling they may be to think so, matters not, but many strong and striking reasons may be given to show that nothing can settle our affairs so expeditiously

^{*}Those who would fully understand of what great consequence a large and equal representation is to a state, should read Burgh's Political Disquisitions.—Author.

as an open and determined declaration for independence. Some of which are,

First—It is the custom of Nations, when any two are at war, for some other powers, not engaged in the quarrel, to step in as mediators, and bring about the preliminaries of a peace; But while America calls herself the subject of Great Britain, no power, however well disposed she may be, can offer her mediation. Wherefore, in our present state we may quarrel on for ever.

Secondly—It is unreasonable to suppose that France or Spain will give us any kind of assistance, if we mean only to make use of that assistance for the purpose of repairing the breach, and strengthening the connection between Britain and America; because, those powers would be sufferers by the consequences.

Thirdly—While we profess ourselves the subjects of Britain, we must, in the eyes of foreign nations, be considered as Rebels. The precedent is somewhat dangerous to their peace, for men to be in arms under the name of subjects; we, on the spot, can solve the paradox; but to unite resistance and subjection requires an idea much too refined for common understanding.

Fourthly—Were a manifesto to be published, and despatched to foreign Courts, setting forth the miseries we have endured, and the peaceful methods which we have ineffectually used for redress; declaring at the same time that not being able longer to live happily or safely under the cruel disposition of the British Court, we had been driven to the necessity of breaking off all connections with her; at the same time, assuring all such Courts of our peaceable disposition towards them, and of our desire of entering into trade with them; such a memorial would produce more good effects to this Continent than if a ship were freighted with petitions to Britain.

Under our present denomination of British subjects, we can neither be received nor heard abroad; the custom of all Courts is against us, and will be so, until by an independence we take rank with other nations.

These proceedings may at first seem strange and difficult, but like all other steps which we have already passed over, will in a little time become familiar and agreeable; and until an independence is declared, the Continent will feel itself like a man who continues putting off some unpleasant business from day to day, yet knows it must be done, hates to set about it, wishes it over, and is continually haunted with the thoughts of its necessity.

APPENDIX TO COMMON SENSE.

SINCE the publication of the first edition of this pamphlet, or rather, on the same day on which it came out, the King's Speech made its appearance in this city [Philadelphia]. Had the spirit of prophecy directed the birth of this production, it could not have brought it forth at a more seasonable juncture, or at a more necessary time. The bloody-mindedness of the one shows the necessity of pursuing the doctrine of the other. Men read by way of revenge. And the speech, instead of terrifying, prepared a way for the manly principles of Independence.

Ceremony, and even silence, from whatever motives they may arise, have a hurtful tendency when they give the least degree of countenance to base and wicked performances; wherefore, if this maxim is admitted, it naturally follows that the King's Speech, as being a piece of finished villainy, deserved and still deserves, a general execration, both by the Congress and the people. Yet, as the domestic tranquility of a nation depends largely on the chastity of what might properly be called NA-TIONAL MANNERS, it is often better to pass some things over in silent disdain than to make use of such new methods of dislike as might introduce the least innovation on that guardian of our peace and safety. And, perhaps, it is chiefly

owing to this prudent delicacy that the King's Speech hath not before now suffered a public execution. The speech, if it may be called one, is nothing better than a wilful audacious libel against the truth, the common good, and the existence of mankind: and is a formal and pompous method of offering up human sacrifices to the pride of tyrants. But this general massacre of mankind is one of the privileges and the certain consequences of kings; for as nature knows them not, they know not her, and al-though they are beings of our own creating, they know not us, and are become the Gods of their creators. The speech hath one good quality, which is, that it is not calculated to deceive, neither can we, even if we would, be deceived by it. Brutality and tyranny appear on the face of it. It leaves us at no loss: And every line convinces, even in the moment of reading, that he who hunts the woods for prey, the naked and untutored Indian, is less Savage than the King of Britain.

Sir John Dalrymple, the putative father of a whining jesuitical piece, fallaciously called "The address of the people of England to the inhabitants of America," hath perhaps from a vain supposition that the people here were to be frightened at the pomp and description of a king, given (though very unwisely on his part) the real character of the present one: "But," says this writer, "if you are inclined to pay compliments to an administration, which we do not complain of (meaning the Marquis of Rockingham's at the repeal of the Stamp Act) it is very unfair in you to withhold them from that prince, by whose NOD ALONE they were permitted to do any thing." This is toryism with a witness! Here is idolatry even without a mask: And he who can calmly hear and digest such doctrine, hath forfeited his claim to rationality—an apostate from the order of manhood and ought to be considered as one who hath not only given up the proper dignity of man, but sunk himself beneath the rank of animals, and contemptibly crawls through the world like a worm.

However, it matters very little now what the king of England either says or does; he hath wickedly broken through every moral and human obligation, trampled nature and conscience beneath his feet, and by a steady and constitutional spirit of insolence and cruelty procured for himself an universal hatred. It is now the interest of America to provide for herself. She hath already a large and young family, whom it is more her duty to take care of than to be granting away her property to support a power who is become a reproach to the names of men and Christians-YE, whose office it is to watch the morals of a nation, of whatsoever sect or denomination ye are of, as well as ye who are more immediately the guardians of the public liberty, if ye wish to preserve your native country uncontaminated by European corruption, ye must in secret wish a separation. But leaving the moral part to private reflection. I shall chiefly confine my further remarks to the following heads:

First, That it is to the interest of America to be separated from Britain. Secondly, Which is the easiest and most practicable plan, RECONCILIATION OF INDEPENDENCE? with some occasional remarks.

In support of the first, I could, if I judged it proper, produce the opinion of some of the ablest and most experienced men on this continent: and whose sentiments on that head are not yet publicly known. It is in reality a selfevident position: for no nation in a state of foreign dependance, limited in its commerce. and cramped and fettered in its legislative powers, can ever arrive at any material eminence. America doth not vet know what opulence is: and although the progress which she hath made stands unparalleled in the history of other nations, it is but childhood compared with what she would be capable of striving at, had she, as she ought to have, the legislative powers in her own hands. England is at this time proudly coveting what would do her no good were she to accomplish it: and the continent hesitating on a matter which will be her final ruin if neglected. It is the commerce and not the conquest of America by which England is to be benefited, and that would in a great measure continue were the countries as independent of each other as France and Spain: because in many articles neither can go to a better market. But it is the independance of this country of Britain, or any other, which is now the main and only object worthy of contention, and which, like all other truths discovered by necessity, will appear clear and stronger every day.

COMMON SENSE

First, Because it will come to that one time or other.

Secondly, Because the longer it is delayed the harder it will be to accomplish.

I have frequently amused myself both in public and private companies with silently remarking the specious errors of those who speak without reflecting. And among the many which I have heard, the following seems the most general, viz, that had this rupture happened forty or fifty years hence, instead of now, the continent would have been more able to have shaken off the independence. To which I reply, that our military ability, at this time, arises from the experience gained in the last war, and which in forty or fifty years time would be totally extinct. The continent would not, by that time, have a general, or even a military officer left; and we, or those who may succeed us, would be as ignorant of martial matters as the ancient Indians; and this single position, closely attended to, will unanswerably prove that the present time is preferable to all others. The argument turns thus: At the conclusion of the last war we had experience, but wanted numbers; and forty or fifty years hence we shall have numbers, without experience; wherefore, the proper point of time must be some particular point between the two extremes, in which a sufficiency of the former remains, and a proper increase of the latter is obtained: And that point of time is the present time.

The reader will pardon this digression, as it does not properly come under the head I first

79

set out with, and to which I again return by the following position, viz.:

Should affairs be patched up with Britain, and she to remain the governing and sovereign power of America (which, as matters are now circumstanced, is giving up the point entirely) we shall deprive ourselves of the very means of sinking the debt we have, or may contract. The value of the back lands, which some of the provinces are clandestinely deprived of, by the unjust extension of the limits of Canada, valued only at five pounds sterling per hundred acres, amount to upward of twenty-five millions, Pennsylvania currency; and the quit-rents, at one penny sterling per acre, to two millions yearly.

It is by the sale of those lands that the debt may be sunk, without burthen to any, and the quit-rent reserved thereon will always lessen, and in time will wholly support the yearly expense of government. It matters not how long the debt is in paying, so that the lands when sold be applied to the discharge of it, and for the execution of which the Congress for the time being will be the continental trustees.

I proceed now to the second head, viz. Which is the easiest and most practicable plan, Reconciliation or Independence, with some occasional remarks.

He who takes nature for his guide is not easily beaten out of his argument, and on that ground, I answer generally—That independance being a single simple line, contained within ourselves; and reconciliation, a matter exceedingly perplexed and complicated, and in which a

COMMON SENSE

treacherous capricious court is to interfere, gives the answer without a doubt.

The present state of America is truly alarming to every man who is capable of reflection. Without law, without government, without any other mode of power than what is founded on. and granted by, courtesy. Held together by an unexampled occurrence of sentiment, which is nevertheless subject to change, and which every secret enemy is endeavoring to dissolve. Our present condition is Legislation without law: wisdom without a plan: a constitution without a name: and, what is strangely astonishing. perfect independance contending for dependance. The instance is without a precedent, the case never existed before, and who can tell what may be the event? The property of no man is secure in the present unbraced system of things. The mind of the multitude is left at random, and seeing no fixed object before them, they pursue such as fancy or opinion presents. Nothing is criminal; there is no such thing as treason; wherefore, every one thinks himself at liberty to act as he pleases. The Tories would not have dared to assemble offensively had they known that their lives, by that act, were forfeited to the laws of the state. A line of distinction should be drawn between English soldiers taken in battle, and inhabitants of America taken in arms. The first are prisoners, but the latter traitors. The one forfeits his liberty, the other his head.

Notwithstanding our wisdom, there is a visible feebleness in some of our proceedings which gives encouragement to dissentions. The Continental Belt is too loosely buckled: And if something is not done in time, it will be too late to do any thing, and we shall fall into a state in which neither Reconciliation nor Independance will be practicable. The king and his worthless adherents are got at their old game of dividing the Continent, and there are not wanting among us Printers who will be busy in spreading specious falsehoods. The artful and hypocritical letter which appeared a few months ago in two of the New York papers, and likewise in two others, is an evidence that there are men who want both judgment and honesty.

It is easy getting into holes and corners, and talking of reconciliation: But do such men seriously consider how difficult the task is, and how dangerous it may prove, should the Continent divide thereon? Do they take within their view all the various orders of men whose situation and circumstances, as well as their own, are to be considered therein? Do they put themselves in the place of the sufferer whose all is already gone, and of the soldier, who hath quitted all for the defence of his country? If their ill-judged moderation be suited to their own private situations only, regardless of others, the event will convince them that "they are reckoning without their host."

Put us, say some, on the footing we were in the year 1763: To which I answer, the request is not now in the power of Britain to comply with, neither will she propose it; but if it were, and even should be granted, I ask, as a reasonable question, By what means is such a corrupt and faithless court to be kept to its engagements? Another parliament, nay, even the present, may hereafter repeal the obligation, on the pretence of its being violently obtained, or unwisely granted; and, in that case, Where is our redress? No going to law with nations: cannon are the barristers of crowns: and the sword, not of justice, but of war, decides the suit. To be on the footing of 1763, it is not sufficient that the laws only be put in the same state, but that our circumstances likewise be put in the same state; our burnt and destroyed towns repaired or built up, our private losses made good, our public debts (contracted for defence) discharged: otherwise we shall be millions worse than we were at that enviable period. Such a request, had it been complied with a year ago, would have won the heart and soul of the Continent, but now it is too late. "The Rubicon is passed."

Besides, the taking up arms, merely to enforce the repeal of a pecuniary law, seems as unwarrantable by the divine law, and as repugnant to human feelings, as the taking up arms to enforce obedience thereto. The object, on either side, doth not justify the means; for the lives of men are too valuable to be cast away on such trifles. It is the violence which is done and threatened to our persons; the destruction of our property by an armed force; the invasion of our country by fire and sword, which conscientiously qualifies the use of arms: and the instant in which such mode of defence became necessary, all subjection to Britain ought to have ceased; and the independence of America should have been considered as dating its era from, and published by, the first musket that was fired against her. This line is a line of consistency; neither drawn by caprice, nor extended by ambition; but produced by a chain of events, of which the colonies were not the authors.

I shall conclude these remarks, with the following timely and well-intended hints. We ought to reflect, that there are three different ways by which an independancy may hereafter be effected: and that one of those three, will, one day or other, be the fate of America, viz. By the legal voice of the people in Congress; by a military power; or by a mob: It may not always happen that our soldiers are citizens, and the multitude a body of reasonable men: virtue, as I have already remarked, is not hereditary, neither is it perpetual. Should an independancy be brought about by the first of those means, we have every opportunity and every encouragement before us, to form the noblest, purest constitution on the face of the earth. We have it in our power to begin the world over again. A situation, similar to the present, hath not happened since the days of Noah until now. The birthday of a new world is at hand, and a race of men, perhaps as numerous as all Europe contains, are to receive their portion of freedom from the events of a few months. The reflection is awful, and in this point of view, how trifling, how ridiculous, do the little paltry cavilings of a few weak or

interested men appear, when weighed against the business of a world.

Should we neglect the present favorable and inviting period, and independence be hereafter effected by any other means, we must charge the consequence to ourselves, or to those rather whose narrow and prejudiced souls are habitually opposing the measure, without either inquiring or reflecting. There are reasons to be given in support of independence which men should rather privately think of, than be publicly cold of. We ought not now to be debating whether we shall be independent or not, but anxious to accomplish it on a firm, secure, and honorable basis, and uneasy rather that it is not yet begun upon. Every day convinces us of its necessity. Even the Tories (if such beings yet remain among us) should, of all men, be the most solicitous to promote it; for as the appointment of committees at first protected them from popular rage, so, a wise and well established form of government will be the only certain means of continuing it securely to them. Wherefore, if they have not virtue enough to be WHIGS, they ought to have prudence enough to wish for independence.

In short, Independence is the only BOND that ties and keep us together. We shall then see our object, and our ears will be legally shut against the schemes of an intriguing, as well as cruel, enemy. We shall then, too, be on a proper footing to treat with Britain; for there is reason to conclude, that the pride of that court will be less hurt by treating with the American states for terms of peace, than with those, whom she denominates "rebellious subjects," for terms of accommodation. It is our delaying in that, encourages her to hope for conquest, and our backwardness tends only to prolong the war. As we have, without any good effect therefrom, withheld our trade to obtain a redress of our grievances, let us now try the alternative, by independantly redressing them ourselves, and then offering to open the trade. The mercantile and reasonable part of England, will be still with us; because, peace, with trade, is preferable to war without it. And if this offer be not accepted, other courts may be applied to.

On these grounds I rest the matter. And as no offer hath yet been made to refute the doctrine contained in the former editions of this pamphlet, it is a negative proof, that either the doctrine cannot be refuted, or, that the party in favor of it are too numerous to be opposed. WHEREFORE, instead of gazing at each other with suspicious or doubtful curiosity, let each of us hold out to his neighbor the hearty hand of friendship, and unite in drawing a line, which, like an act of oblivion, shall bury in forgetfulness every former dissention. Let the names of Whig and Tory be extinct; and let none other be heard among us, than those of a good citizen; an open and resolute friend; and a virtuous supporter of the RIGHTS OF MAN-KIND, and of the FREE AND INDEPENDENT STATES OF AMERICA.

Other Titles in Pocket Series

Drama

- 316 Prometheus Bound. Aeschvlos.
- 90 The Mikado, Gilbert.
- 295 Master Builder. Ibsen.
- 308 She Stoops to Conquer. Oliver Goldsmith.
- 134 The Misanthrope. Moliere.
 - 99 Tartuffe. Moilere.
 - 16 Ghosts. Henrik Ibsen.
 - 80 Pillars of Society. Ibsen.

 - 46 Salome. Oscar Wilde. 54 Importance of Being Earnest. O. Wilde.
- 8 Lady Windermere's Fan. Oscar Wilde. 131 Redemption. Tolstoi. 31 Pelleas and Melisande.
- Maeterlinck.
- 226 Prof. Bernhardi. Schnitzler.

Shakespeare's Plays

- 240 The Tempest.
- 241 Merry Wives of Windsor.
- 242 As You Like It.
- 243 Twelfth Night.
- 244 Much Ado About Nothing.
- 245 Measure for Measure. 246 Hamlet.

- 247 Macbeth. 248 King Henry V. 251 Midsummer Night's Dream.
- 252 Othello, The Moor of Venice.
- 253 King Henry VIII.
- 254 The Taming of the Shrew.

- 255 King Lear.
- 256 Venus and Adonis.
- 257 King Henry IV. Part I.
- 258 King Henry IV. Part II.
- 249 Julius Caesar.
- 250 Romeo and Juliet.
- 259 King Henry VI. Part I.
- 260 King Henry VI. Part II.
- 261 King Henry VI. Part III.
- 262 Comedy of Errors. 263 King John.
- 264 King Richard III. 265 King Richard II.
- 267 Pericles.
- 268 Merchant of Venice

Fiction

- 143 In the Time of the Terror. Balzac. 280 Happy Prince and
- Other Tales. Wilde.
- 182 Daisy Miller. Henry James.
- 162 The Murders in The Rue Morgue and Other Tales. Edgar Allan Poe.
- 345 Clarimonde. Gautier.
- 292 Mademoiselle . Fifi. De Maupassant.
- 199 The Tallow Ball. De Maupassant.
 - 6 De Maupassant's Stories.
- 15 Balzac's Stories.
- 344 Don Juan and Other Stories, Balzac.

88

318 Christ in Flanders and	37 Dream of John Ball.		
Other Stories. Balzac.	William Morris.		
230 The Fleece of Gold.	40 House and the Brain.		
Theophile Gautier.	Bulwer Lytton.		
178 One of Cleopatra's	72 Color of Life.		
Nights. Gautier.	E. Haldeman-Julius.		
314 Short Stories. Daudet.	198 Majesty of Justice. Anatole France.		
58 Boccaccio's Stories.	215 The Miraculous Re-		
45 Tolstoi's Short Stories.	venge. Bernard Shaw.		
12 Poe's Tales of Mystery.	24 The Kiss and Other		
290 The Gold Bug. Edgar	Stories. Chekhov.		
Allan Poe.	285 Euphorian in Texas.		
145 Great Ghost Stories.	George Moore.		
21 Carmen. Merimee.	219 The Human Tragedy.		
23 Great Stories of the	Anatole France.		
Sea.	196 The Marquise. George Sand.		
319 Comtesse de Saint-	239 Twenty-Six Men and a		
Gerane. Dumas. 38 Dr. Jekyll and Mr.	Girl. Gorki.		
Hyde, Stevenson.	29 Dreams. Olive		
279 Will o' the Mill;	Schreiner.		
Markheim. Stevenson.	232 The Three Strangers.		
311 A Lodging for the	Thomas Hardy,		
Night. Stevenson.	277 The Man Without a		
27 Last Days of a Con-	· Country. E. E. Hale.		
demned Man. Hugo.	TT		
151 Man Who Would Be	History, Biography		
King. Kipling.			
148 Strength of the Strong. London.	324 Life of Lincoln. Bowers.		
41 Christmas Carol.	312 Life and Works of Lau-		
Dickens.	rence Sterne. Gunn. 328 Addison and His Times.		
57 Rip Van Winkle.	Finger.		
Irving.	323 The Life of Joan of		
100 Red Laugh. Andreyev.	Arc.		
105 Seven That Were	339 Thoreau-the Man		
Hanged. Andreyev.	Who Escaped from the		
102 Sherlock Holmes Tales.	Herd. Finger.		
Conan Doyle.	126 History of Rome.		
161 Country of the Blind.	A. F. Giles.		
H. G. Wells.	128 Julius Caesar: Who		
85 Attack on the Mill. 158 Alice in Wonderland.	He Was.		
Zola.	185 History of Printing. 149 Historic Crimes and		
156 Andersen's Fairy Tales.	Criminals. Finger.		
100 Andersen's Fairy Tales. 1			

89

175	Science of History.	67 Church History.
	Froude.	or church mistory.
		H. M. Tichenor.
104	Battle of Waterloo.	169 Voices From the Past
TOX		ace Tite a control the Past
	Victor Hugo.	266 Life of Shakespeare
20	TT . 14	and Analysis of His
92	Voltaire. Victor Hugo.	Plays.
- 105	Wan Granshan of	riays.
120	War Speeches of	123 Life of Madame Du
	Woodrow Wilson.	Barry.
22		Dairy.
22		139 Life of Dante.
	Works.	69 Life of Mary, Queen
110	D'	de Line of Mary, Queen
142		01 SCOTS, Dumas
	German Empire.	5 Life of Samuel
000	When the Puritans	The of Samuel
400		Johnson. Macaulay.
	Were in Power.	174 Trial of William Penn
212	Life of Columbus.	Penn of william Penn
		77
66	Crimes of the Borgias.	Humor
	Dumaś.	
0.05	Dunias.	291 Jumping Frog and
281	Whistler: The Man	out outpung Frog and
	and His Work. Bruno: His Life and	Other Humorous Tales
61	Dupper Hig Life and	Mark Twoin
01	bruno: his Life and	10 Tale mi
	Martyrdom.	18 Idle Thoughts of an
147	Cromwell and His	Idle Fellow, Jeromo
***	Cromwent and mis	166 English og Sh. T
1.00	Times.	166 English as She Is
230	blate and Heart	. Spoke. Mark Twain.
236	State and Heart	Spoke. Mark Twain.
	Affairs of Henry VIII.	231 Eight Humorous
50	Affairs of Henry VIII. Paine's Common Sense.	231 Eight Humorous Sketches, Mark Twain
50	Affairs of Henry VIII. Paine's Common Sense.	231 Eight Humorous Sketches, Mark Twain
50	Affairs of Henry VIII. Paine's Common Sense. Vindication of Paine.	231 Eight Humorous Sketches. Mark Twain 205 Artemus Ward, His
50 88	Affairs of Henry VIII. Paine's Common Sense. Vindication of Paine. Ingersoll.	231 Eight Humorous Sketches. Mark Twain 205 Artemus Ward. His Book.
50 88	Affairs of Henry VIII. Paine's Common Sense. Vindication of Paine. Ingersoll.	231 Eight Humorous Sketches. Mark Twain 205 Artemus Ward. His Book. 187 Whistler's Humor
50 88	Affairs of Henry VIII. Paine's Common Sense. Vindication of Paine. Ingersoll. Brann: Smasher of	231 Eight Humorous Sketches. Mark Twain 205 Artemus Ward. His Book. 187 Whistler's Humor
50 88 33	Affairs of Henry VIII. Paine's Common Sense, Vindication of Paine. Ingersoll. Brann: Smasher of Shams.	231 Eight Humorous Sketches, Mark Twain 205 Artemus Ward, His Book, 187 Whistler's Humor. 216 Wit of Heinrich Heine
50 88 33	Affairs of Henry VIII. Paine's Common Sense, Vindication of Paine. Ingersoll. Brann: Smasher of Shams.	231 Eight Humorous Sketches, Mark Twain 205 Artemus Ward, His Book, 187 Whistler's Humor. 216 Wit of Heinrich Heine George Eliof
50 88 33	Affairs of Henry VIII. Paine's Common Sense. Vindication of Paine. Ingersoll. Brann: Smasher of Shams. Sex Life in Greece and	231 Eight Humorous Sketches, Mark Twain 205 Artemus Ward, His Book, 187 Whistler's Humor. 216 Wit of Heinrich Heine George Eliof
50 88 33 163	Affairs of Henry VIII. Paine's Common Sense. Vindication of Paine. Ingersoll. Brann: Smasher of Shams. Sex Life in Greece and Rome.	231 Eight Humorous Sketches, Mark Twain 205 Artemus Ward, His Book, 187 Whistler's Humor. 216 Wit of Heinrich Heine
50 88 33 163 214	Affairs of Henry VIII. Paine's Common Sense. Vindication of Paine. Ingersoll. Brann: Smasher of Shams. Sex Life in Greece and Rome. Speeches of Lincoln.	 231 Eight Humorous Sketches, Mark Twain 205 Artemus Ward, His Book, 187 Whistler's Humor. 216 Wit of Heinrich Heine George Eliot. 20 Let's Laugh, Nasby.
50 88 33 163 214	Affairs of Henry VIII. Paine's Common Sense. Vindication of Paine. Ingersoll. Brann: Smasher of Shams. Sex Life in Greece and Rome. Speeches of Lincoln.	 231 Eight Humorous Sketches, Mark Twain 205 Artemus Ward, His Book, 187 Whistler's Humor. 216 Wit of Heinrich Heine George Eliot. 20 Let's Laugh, Nasby.
50 88 33 163 214	Affairs of Henry VIII. Paine's Common Sense. Vindication of Paine. Ingersoll. Brann: Smasher of Shams. Sex Life in Greece and Rome. Speeches of Lincoln. Speeches and Letters	231 Eight Humorous Sketches, Mark Twain 205 Artemus Ward. His Book, 187 Whistler's Humor. 216 Wit of Heinrich Heine George Eliot. 20 Let's Laugh. Nasby. Literature
50 88 33 163 214 276	Affairs of Henry VIII. Paine's Common Sense. Vindication of Paine. Ingersoll. Brann: Smasher of Shams. Sex Life in Greece and Rome. Speeches of Lincoln. Speeches and Letters of Geo. Washington.	231 Eight Humorous Sketches, Mark Twain 205 Artemus Ward. His Book, 187 Whistler's Humor. 216 Wit of Heinrich Heine George Eliot. 20 Let's Laugh. Nasby. Literature
50 88 33 163 214 276	Affairs of Henry VIII. Paine's Common Sense. Vindication of Paine. Ingersoll. Brann: Smasher of Shams. Sex Life in Greece and Rome. Speeches of Lincoln. Speeches and Letters of Geo. Washington.	 231 Eight Humorous Sketches, Mark Twain 205 Artemus Ward, His Book, 187 Whistler's Humor. 216 Wit of Heinrich Heine George Eliot. 20 Let's Laugh, Nasby. Literature 278 Friendship and Other
50 88 33 163 214 276	Affairs of Henry VIII. Paine's Common Sense. Vindication of Paine. Ingersoll. Brann: Smasher of Shams. Sex Life in Greece and Rome. Speeches of Lincoln. Speeches of Lincoln. Speeches of Letters of Geo. Washington.	 231 Eight Humorous Sketches, Mark Twain 205 Artemus Ward, His Book, 187 Whistler's Humor. 216 Wit of Heinrich Heine George Eliot. 20 Let's Laugh, Nasby. Literature 278 Friendship and Other Essays. Thoreau
50 88 33 163 214 276 144	Affairs of Henry VIII. Paine's Common Sense. Vindication of Paine. Ingersoll. Brann: Smasher of Shams. Sex Life in Greece and Rome. Speeches of Lincoln. Speeches and Letters of Geo. Washington. Was Poe Immoral?	 231 Eight Humorous Sketches, Mark Twain 205 Artemus Ward, His Book, 187 Whistler's Humor. 216 Wit of Heinrich Heine George Eliot. 20 Let's Laugh, Nasby. Literature 278 Friendship and Other Essays. Thoreau
50 88 33 163 214 276 144 223	Affairs of Henry VIII. Paine's Common Sense. Vindication of Paine. Ingersoll. Brann: Smasher of Shams. Sex Life in Greece and Rome. Speeches of Lincoln. Speeches of Lincoln. Speeches and Letters of Geo. Washington. Was Poe Immoral? Whitman. Essay on Swinburne.	 231 Eight Humorous Sketches, Mark Twain 205 Artemus Ward, His Book, 187 Whistler's Humor. 216 Wit of Heinrich Heine George Eliot. 20 Let's Laugh, Nasby. Literature 278 Friendship and Other Essays, Thoreau. 195 Thoughts on Nature
50 88 33 163 214 276 144 223	Affairs of Henry VIII. Paine's Common Sense. Vindication of Paine. Ingersoll. Brann: Smasher of Shams. Sex Life in Greece and Rome. Speeches of Lincoln. Speeches of Lincoln. Speeches and Letters of Geo. Washington. Was Poe Immoral? Whitman. Essay on Swinburne.	 231 Eight Humorous Sketches. Mark Twain Sketches. Mark Twain 205 Artemus Ward. His Book. 187 Whistler's Humor. 216 Wit of Heinrich Heine George Eliot. 20 Let's Laugh. Nasby. Literature 278 Friendship and Othen Essays. Thoreau. 195 Thoughts on Nature Thoreau.
50 88 33 163 214 276 144 223	Affairs of Henry VIII. Paine's Common Sense. Vindication of Paine. Ingersoll. Brann: Smasher of Shams. Sex Life in Greece and Rome. Speeches of Lincoln. Speeches of Lincoln. Speeches and Letters of Geo. Washington. Was Poe Immoral? Whitman. Essay on Swinburne. Keats, The Man and	 231 Eight Humorous Sketches, Mark Twain 205 Artemus Ward, His Book, 187 Whistler's Humor. 216 Wit of Heinrich Heine George Eliot. 20 Let's Laugh, Nasby. Literature 278 Friendship and Othen Essays. Thoreau. 195 Thoughts on Nature. Thoreau. 194 Lord Chesterfield's
50 88 33 163 214 276 144 223 227	Affairs of Henry VIII. Paine's Common Sense. Vindication of Paine. Ingersoll. Brann: Smasher of Shams. Sex Life in Greece and Rome. Speeches of Lincoln. Speeches of Lincoln. Speeches and Letters of Geo. Washington. Was Poe Immoral? Whitman. Essay on Swinburne. Keats, The Man and His Work.	 231 Eight Humorous Sketches, Mark Twain 205 Artemus Ward, His Book, 187 Whistler's Humor. 216 Wit of Heinrich Heine George Eliot. 20 Let's Laugh, Nasby. Literature 278 Friendship and Othen Essays. Thoreau. 195 Thoughts on Nature. Thoreau. 194 Lord Chesterfield's
50 88 33 163 214 276 144 223 227	Affairs of Henry VIII. Paine's Common Sense. Vindication of Paine. Ingersoll. Brann: Smasher of Shams. Sex Life in Greece and Rome. Speeches of Lincoln. Speeches of Lincoln. Speeches and Letters of Geo. Washington. Was Poe Immoral? Whitman. Essay on Swinburne. Keats, The Man and His Work.	 231 Eight Humorous Sketches, Mark Twain 205 Artemus Ward, His Book, 187 Whistler's Humor. 216 Wit of Heinrich Heine George Eliot. 20 Let's Laugh, Nasby. Literature 278 Friendship and Othen Essays. Thoreau. 195 Thoughts on Nature. Thoreau. 194 Lord Chesterfield's Letters.
50 88 33 163 214 276 144 223 227	Affairs of Henry VIII. Paine's Common Sense. Vindication of Paine. Ingersoll. Brann: Smasher of Shams. Sex Life in Greece and Rome. Speeches of Lincoln. Speeches of Lincoln. Speeches of Letters of Geo. Washington. Was Poe Immoral? Whitman. Essay on Swinburne. Keats, The Man and His Work. Lost Civilizations.	 231 Eight Humorous Sketches. Mark Twain Sketches. Mark Twain 205 Artemus Ward. His Book. 187 Whistler's Humor. 216 Wit of Heinrich Heine George Eliot. 20 Let's Laugh. Nasby. 20 Let's Laugh. Nasby. 216 Friendship and Othen Essays. Thoreau. 295 Thoughts on Nature Thoreau. 194 Lord Chesterfield's Letters. 63 A Defense of Poetry.
50 88 33 163 214 276 144 223 227 150	Affairs of Henry VIII. Paine's Common Sense, Vindication of Paine. Ingersoll. Brann: Smasher of Shams. Sex Life in Greece and Rome. Speeches of Lincoln. Speeches and Letters of Geo. Washington. Was Poe Immoral? Whitman. Essay on Swinburne. Keats, The Man and His Work. Lost Civilizations. Finger.	 231 Eight Humorous Sketches. Mark Twain Sketches. Mark Twain 205 Artemus Ward. His Book. 187 Whistler's Humor. 216 Wit of Heinrich Heine George Eliot. 20 Let's Laugh. Nasby. 20 Let's Laugh. Nasby. 216 Friendship and Othen Essays. Thoreau. 295 Thoughts on Nature Thoreau. 194 Lord Chesterfield's Letters. 63 A Defense of Poetry.
50 88 33 163 214 276 144 223 227 150	Affairs of Henry VIII. Paine's Common Sense, Vindication of Paine. Ingersoll. Brann: Smasher of Shams. Sex Life in Greece and Rome. Speeches of Lincoln. Speeches and Letters of Geo. Washington. Was Poe Immoral? Whitman. Essay on Swinburne. Keats, The Man and His Work. Lost Civilizations. Finger.	 231 Eight Humorous Sketches, Mark Twain 205 Artemus Ward, His Book. 187 Whistler's Humor. 216 Wit of Heinrich Heine George Eliot. 20 Let's Laugh, Nasby. Literature 278 Friendship and Othen Essays, Thoreau. 278 Friendship and Othen Essays, Thoreau. 195 Thoughts on Nature. Thoreau. 194 Lord Chesterfield's Letters. 63 A Defense of Poetry.
50 88 33 163 214 276 144 223 227 150	Affairs of Henry VIII. Paine's Common Sense. Vindication of Paine. Ingersoll. Brann: Smasher of Shams. Sex Life in Greece and Rome. Speeches of Lincoln. Speeches and Letters of Geo. Washington. Was Poe Immoral? Whitman. Essay on Swinburne. Keats, The Man and His Work. Lost Civilizations. Finger. Constantine and the	 231 Eight Humorous Sketches. Mark Twain Sketches. Mark Twain 205 Artemus Ward. His Book. 205 Artemus Ward. His Book. 206 Wit of Heinrich Heine George Eliot. 20 Let's Laugh. Nasby. 208 Eriendship and Other Essays. Thoreau. 207 Thoughts on Nature Thoreau. 209 Thoughts on Nature Shelley. 30 A Defense of Poetry. Shelley. 31 Litters of King
50 88 33 163 214 276 144 223 227 150	Affairs of Henry VIII. Paine's Common Sense. Vindication of Paine. Ingersoll. Brann: Smasher of Shams. Sex Life in Greece and Rome. Speeches of Lincoln. Speeches of Lincoln. Speeches and Letters of Geo. Washington. Was Poe Immoral? Whitman. Essay on Swinburne. Keats, The Man and His Work. Lost Civilizations. Finger. Constantine and the Beginnings of Christi-	 231 Eight Humorous Sketches, Mark Twain 205 Artemus Ward, His Book, 187 Whistler's Humor. 216 Wit of Heinrich Heine George Eliot. 20 Let's Laugh, Nasby. Literature 278 Friendship and Othen Essays. Thoreau. 195 Thoughts on Nature. Thoreau. 194 Lord Chesterfield's Letters. 63 A Defense of Poetry. Shelley. 97 Love Letters of King Henry VIII.
50 88 33 163 214 276 144 223 227 150 170	Affairs of Henry VIII. Paine's Common Sense. Vindication of Paine. Ingersoll. Brann: Smasher of Shams. Sex Life in Greece and Rome. Speeches of Lincoln. Speeches of Lincoln. Speeches and Letters of Geo. Washington. Was Poe Immoral? Whitman. Essay on Swinburne. Keats, The Man and His Work. Lost Civilizations. Finger. Constantine and the Beginnings of Christi- anity.	 231 Eight Humorous Sketches, Mark Twain 205 Artemus Ward, His Book, 187 Whistler's Humor. 216 Wit of Heinrich Heine George Eliot. 20 Let's Laugh, Nasby. Literature 278 Friendship and Othen Essays. Thoreau. 195 Thoughts on Nature. Thoreau. 194 Lord Chesterfield's Letters. 63 A Defense of Poetry. Shelley. 97 Love Letters of King Henry VIII.
50 88 33 163 214 276 144 223 227 150 170	Affairs of Henry VIII. Paine's Common Sense. Vindication of Paine. Ingersoll. Brann: Smasher of Shams. Sex Life in Greece and Rome. Speeches of Lincoln. Speeches of Lincoln. Speeches and Letters of Geo. Washington. Was Poe Immoral? Whitman. Essay on Swinburne. Keats, The Man and His Work. Lost Civilizations. Finger. Constantine and the Beginnings of Christi-	 231 Eight Humorous Sketches. Mark Twain Sketches. Mark Twain 205 Artemus Ward. His Book. 205 Artemus Ward. His Book. 206 Wit of Heinrich Heine George Eliot. 20 Let's Laugh. Nasby. 208 Eriendship and Other Essays. Thoreau. 207 Thoughts on Nature Thoreau. 209 Thoughts on Nature Shelley. 30 A Defense of Poetry. Shelley. 31 Litters of King

28	Toleration, Voltaire.
89	
09	and Women of Genius.
	and women of Genius.
186	How I wrote "The Raven". Poe.
	Raven". Poe.
87	Love, an Essay.
	Montaigne.
48	Bacon's Essays.
60	
84	Love Letters of a
OI	Portuguese Nun.
26	Portuguese Mull.
20	On Going to Church. G. B. Shaw.
	G. B. Shaw.
135	Socialism for Million-
	aires. G. B. Shaw.
61	Tolstoi's Essays.
176	Four Essays.
	Havelock Ellis.
160	Lecture on Shakes-
100	Lecture on Snakes-
	peare. Ingersoll. Choice of Books.
75	Choice of Books.
	Carlyle.
288	Essays on Chesterfield
	and Rabelais.
	Sainte-Beuve.
76	The Prince of Peace.
	W J Bryan
86	On Reading. Brandes.
95	Confessions of An
00	Opium Eater.
213	
213	Lecture on Lincoln.
-	Ingersoll.
177	Subjection of Women.
	John Stuart Mill. On Walking. Thoreau.
17	On Walking. Thoreau.
70	Charles Lamb's Essays.
235	Essays. Gilbert K.
	Chesterton.
7	A Liberal Education.
	Thomas Huxley.
233	Thoughts on Literature
	and Art. Goethe.
225	Condescension in
	Foreigners. Lowell.
221	
441	Women, and Other
	Essays. Maeterlinck.

90

- 10 Shelley, Francis Thompson.
- 289 Pepys' Diary. 299 Prose Nature Notes. Walt Whitman.
- 315 Pen, Pencil and Poison. Oscar Wilde.
- 313 The Decay of Lying. Oscar Wilde.
 - 36 Soul of Man Under Socialism, O Wilde.
- 293 Francois Villon: Student, Poet and Housebreaker. R. L. Stevenson.

Maxims and Epigrams

- 179 Gems from Emerson.
 - 77 What Great Men Have Said About Women.
- 304 What Great Women Have Said About Men.
- 310 The Wisdom of Thackeray.
- 193 Wit and Wisdom of Charles Lamb.
 - 56 Wisdom of Ingersoll.
- 106 Aphorisms, George Sand.
- 168 Epigrams. Oscar Wilde
 - 59 Epigrams of Wit and Wisdom.
 - 35 Maxims.
 - Rochefoucauld.
- 154 Epigrams of Ibsen.
- 197 Witticisms and Reflections. De Sevigne.
- 180 Epigrams of George Bernard Shaw.
- 155 Maxims. Napoleon.
- 181 Epigrams. Thoreau,
- 228 Aphorisms. Huxley.
- 113 Proverbs of England.
- 114 Proverbs of France.

115	Proverbs of Japan.	101
110	Proverbs of China	210
110	Proverbs of China. Proverbs of Italy.	210
111	Proverbs of Italy.	224
118	Proverbs of Russia. Proverbs of Ireland. Proverbs of Spain.	229
119	Proveros of Ireland.	
120	Proverbs of Spain.	19
121	Proverbs of Arabia.	
Ph	ilosophy, Religion	204
	A Guide to Plato. Du-	205
109	rant.	1
322	The Buddhist Philoso-	20'
344	phy of Life.	
947	A Guide to Stoicism.	184
		15
124	Theory of Reincarna-	
-	tion Explained.	3(
157	Plato's Republic.	
62	Schopenhauer's Essays. Trial and Death of	
94		
	Socrates.	
65		15
	Marcus Aurelius.	31
64	Rudolf Eucken: His	
	Life and Philosophy.	28
4	Age of Reason. Thomas	
	Paine.	28
55	Herbert Spencer: His	
	Life and Works.	29'
44	Aesop's Fables.	
165	Discovery of the Fu-	329
	ture. H. G. Wells.	
96		330
325	Dialogues of Plato. Essence of Buddhism.	
103	Pocket Theology.	300
200	Voltaire.	0.01
132	Foundations of Re-	28
104	ligion.	20
138		
199		1
211	Schopenhauer.	7:
211		1.
	ture. John Stuart	
212	Mill.	
212		- 0
000	Goethe. Ignorant Philosopher	3
		16

Ignorant Philosopher. Voltaire. 101 Thoughts of Pascal.

- 210 The Stoic Philosophy. Prof. G. Murray.
- 224 God: Known and Unknown. Butler.
- 19 Nietzsche: Who he Was and What He Stood For.
- 204 Sun Worship and Later Beliefs. Tichenor.
- 207 Olympian Gods. H. M. Tichenor.
- 184 Primitive Beliefs.
- 153 Chinese Philosophy of Life.
 - 30 What Life Means to Me. Jack London.

Poetry

- 152 The Kasidah. Burton.
- 317 L'Allegro and Other Poems. Milton.
- 283 Courtship of Miles Standish. Longfellow.
- 282 Rime of Ancient Mariner. Coleridge.
- 297 Poems. Robert Southey.
- 329 Dante's Inferno, Volume 1.
- 330 Dante's Inferno, Volume 2.
- 306 A Shropshire Lad. Housman.
- 284 Poems of Robert Burns.
 - 1 Rubaiyat of Omar Khayyam.
 - 73 Walt' Whitman's Poems.
 - 2 Wilde's Ballad of Reading Jail.
 - 32 Poe's Poems.
- 164 Michael Angelo's Sonnets.

- 71 Poems of Evolution.
- 146 Snow-Bound. Pied Piper.
 - 9 Great English Poems. 79 Enoch Arden. Tennyson.
 - 68 Shakespeare's Sonnets.
- 281 Lays of Ancient Rome. Macaulay.
- 173 Vision of Sir Launfal. Lowell.
- 222 The Vampire and Other Poems. Kipling.
- 237 Prose Poems. Baudelaire.

Science

- 321 A History of Evolution. Fenton.
- 327 The Ice Age. Finger.
- 217 The Puzzle of Personality; a Study in Psycho-Analysis. Fielding.
- 190 Psycho-Analysis—The Key to Human Behavior. Fielding.
- 140 Biology and Spiritual Philosophy.
- 275 The Building of the Earth. C. L. Fenton.
- 49 Three Lectures on Evolution. Haeckel.
 - 42 Origin of the Human Race.
- 238 Reflections on Modern Science. Huxley.
- 202 Survival of the Fittest. H. M. Tichenor.
- 191 Evolution vs. Religion. Balmforth.
- 133 Electricity Made Plain. 92 Hypnotism Made Plain.

- 53 Insects and Men:
- Instinct and Reason.
- 189 Eugenics. Havelock Ellis.

Series of Debates

- 11 Debate on Religion.
- 39 Did Jesus Ever Live?
- 130 Controversy on Christianity. Ingersoll and Gladstone.
 - 43 Marriage and Divorce. Horace Greeley and Robert Owen.
- 208 Debate on Birth Control. Mrs. Sanger and Winter Russell.
- 129 Rome or Reason. Ingersoll and Manning.
- 122 Spiritualism. Conan Doyle and McCabe,
- 171 Has Life Any Meaning? Frank Harris and Percy Ward.
- 206 Capitalism vs. Socialism. Seligman and Nearing.
 - 13 Is Free Will a Fact or a Fallacy?
- 234 McNeal-Sinclair Debate on Socialism.
- 141 Would Practice of Christ's Teachings Make for Social Progress? Nearing and Ward.

Miscellaneous

- 326 Hints on Writing Short Stories. Finger.
- 192 Book of Synonyms.
 - 25 Rhyming Dictionary.
 - 78 How to Be an Orator.
 - 82 Common Faults in Writing English.

92

- 127 What Expectant Mothers Should Know.
- 81 Care of the Baby.
- 136 Child Training. 137 Home Nursing.
- 14 What Every Girl Should Know, Mrs. Sanger.
- 34 Case for Birth Control.
- 91 Manhood: Facts of Life Presented to Men.
- 83 Marriage: Past. Present and Future. Besant.
- 74 On Threshold of Ser.

- 98 How to Love.
- 172 Evolution of Love. Ellen Kev.
- 203 Rights of Women. Havelock Ellis.
- 209 Aspects of Birth Control. Medical, Moral. Sociological.
 - 93 How to Live 100 Years.
- 167 Plutarch's Rules of Health.
- 320 The Prince. Machiavelli.





PE211 P1455 . 19202



LIFE AND LETTERS

LIFE AND LETTERS is a monthly magazine, edited by E. Haldeman-Julias, LIFE AND LET-TERS presents creative thought to you in a simple, compact, inexpensive form. It takes one great personality each month—such as Photo, Goethe, Shakespeare, Nietzsche, Thoreau, Darwin—and gives a comprehensive report of the men's life and achievements. The dominating essay is usually about 15,000 words long. One year—twelve issues—only \$1.00 in U. S.; \$150 in Canada and Foreign. LIFE AND LET-TERS, GIRARD, KANSAS.

HALDEMAN-JULIUS WEEKLY

HALDEMAN-JULIUS WEEKLY, edited by m. Haldeman-Julius, aims to bring before its readers concise reports of the world's achievements in science, literature, art, drama, politics and every other field of human endeavor. The HALPEMAN-JULIUS WEEKLY brings to its readers the best works of the world's greatest minds. Fifty-two iscnes-one year-only \$1 in U.S.; \$1.50 in Conada and Foreign. HALDE-MAN-JULIUS WEEKLY, GIRARD, KANSAS.

KNOW THYSELF

K.'OW THYSELF is a monthly magazine edited by William J. Fielding and E. Haldeman-Julius. KNOW THYSELF'S policy is to supply information along the lines of psychoenclysis, s.r. aclence, etc. It is a valuable source of information. One year-twelve issner-91.50 in C. S.; \$2 in Ganada and Forelyn. KNOW THYSELF, GIRARD, KANSAS.

Made In U. S. A.